Hasty thanks for your 9/5 and enclosed clippings in the few early-a.m. moment before a tape I'm making comes to an end and I get back to affidavits.

What you say about your older Hermes seems to fit the problem I've been having with this otherwise truly remarkable machine. I've used it heavily and there is not even a sign of wear on the keys. For a mont the local repairman has been supposed to phone me when he'll have time to check it again while I wait.

Your Mongolian barbecue sounds like the social event of the season on your mountainside. Mike Maio's eyes lit up when Lil and I told him you were operating one and he smiled broadly. In appreciation, we took it, perhaps respect for a bignose who knew and could do.

Your clippings were valuable, although you appeared to think they'd not be. With the NYTimes on strike and operating their wire their stories inform or misinform, each being important to know. The Star did not carry what you sent. I like to know what the committee is leaking. The Jesse Jackson column is valuable in a separare way, as a reflection of the man in particular and his dedication to truth and justice and of course his departed friend. Wretch.

If you see any quote of Vincent P. Guinn of Irvine, who was their NAA specialist, that can be of significance because he lied, blabbed afterward and gave the most effective support to the official mythology by departing from the standards expected of expert witnesses. He is important in relation to a case we have in court, spectro/NAA and to the integrity of the records we have been given as well as of records not provided under the request and under discovery. Example: he could not identify a single sample he tested as the sample used by the FBI. Example, what may mean nothing to you, Specimen Q15 no longer exists after being in a test that consumers nothing. We have perjury on the results of the Q15 testing at the district court level. (Q15=KFK windshield specimen.)

I'm having to try to keep up with all of this by remote and throught the snotnoses who have their own hangips and lack understanding of what is really significant. I'm hoping to learn more. As of now this possibility cannot be ignored: the FBI phonied new 399 core specimens. I've been tracking this as best I can for years. It seems that the FBI's Frazier cut himself a hunk of it and did not testify to this until I primed Ozer in N.O. to ask him. We got confirmation when we deposed him in the suit. But we could get no weights and we have no idea what happened to the hunk he carved out. It can provide the new specimens Guinn tested. There are just too many questions about all of this testimony, particularly its omissions, to discard what may appear to be too Byzantine. His testimony on two comparisons is the one almost surprise I'Ve had from the hearings to now, suggesting a link between the fragment from Connally's wrist (not authenticated) and the Mannlicher-Carcanno ammo, ditto for the Walker bullet, which I'd always thought had been identified as of different caliber by the Dallas cops. Why he omitted the establishing the integrity of his specimens and then latter blabbed about them I do not know but it requires suspicion.

He and other experts lied about significant details but no time for all of that now. The so-called critic experts, including the most expert, lack basic knowledge and basic understanding as well as being ignorant about how to use the TV and radio opportunities.

Thanks and best,