

MAY 28 1977

JDW: There appears to be nothing in the WxPost's Nixon/Frost coverage worth your time. There is a full page of transcript I've not read. They had a competent signed piece by Haynes Johnson but he mounts up to there is only one Nixon, the same old bitter, vindictive Nixon. There was a piece by Doyle, who had been Spec. Prosecutor flack and is now with Newsweek after doing his book. It also says nothing. What little value it holds is Doyle's unintended reflection of Doyle as a bureaucrat and a little of the same on Henry Rauh. I assume the Times will carry more than the Post did anyway. ...I think the Times will be doing some competent stories on the assassins committee. My relationship with Wendell Rawls seems to be strengthening from his learning through his own efforts that everything I've told him is true, with no unfair inferences. He is now telling me this, almost as though he is surprised by it...The Lardner Saturday piece on Kevin/Hall did create exceptional reportorial interest, whether or not stories. Ms. Burke even told Art that the staff was to-heavily heavy-headed prosecutor types who behaved as prosecutors and that she believes there are those on the committee who may be wrecking it from within. No further explanation. Yesterday she spelled out for Rawls what I told him on how the committee come to be. Best,

HW 5/26/77