

The enclosures on Hoch and Wolfson may be of no interest to you. I send them in part for information and in part as an explanation of what dominates me and aside from character tends to dominate my approach and what I do and how it comes out on paper, the defects of which it ^{does} not fully explain ⁱⁿ detail. It is quite wearing and wearying. Hoch's letter was so long getting postmarked we are both suspicious. It was not postmarked until it was too late to communicate with him even by phone. Jim did the exceptional Friday. Knowing my schedule for the Florida trip he was at the airport, parked and looking for me, long before his normal awakening. We then discussed Hoch (not only him) and without his having read my letter, which I then gave him, he expressed general and basic agreement. His purpose was for me to have the original certified copies of the incorporation and dissolution of the Caddy/Hunt/ Douglas "New Majority" operation. I never had a chance to use these.) He had not written Hoch at his father's in W. Va. Wednesday as I'd thought when he asked me for the address. He was to have phoned there Friday night when Hoch was to have been there with his girl friend or wife. (He has not told me which.) He has agreed to demand that Hoch immediately ask all four to whom he has made submissions to withdraw the My view is that it may not be possible and Hoch has so arranged it. I do not agree that this is a subject any paper or magazine would automatically reject. Lesar also agrees that at the moment the Hoch use as reflected in the draft could be of considerable help to Nixon/Ford because of the timing, which you will note I was aware of before the newest reporting. Lesar was to have been out of town yesterday and today so I have no knowledge of their conversation. Lesar was particularly shocked by the gross Hoch misrepresentation of their conversation (I wondered why Hoch had phoned them when he did not when I earlier suggested it) and by the admission of not the single Nation but four. He is also shocked by the inherent dishonesty of Hoch's limiting his questions to a legal basis (which does exist but is not really get to the root....He also is in accord with the letter I had written Ray (civil suits) of which I think I sent you a copy. He was mailing it at the airport. Best, HW 9/15/74

SEP 18 1974