

15 March 1974

Dear Harold:

A note to go with the enclosed and to acknowledge your mailings through March 12.

Been meaning to let you know that your explanation about Bernie is now relatively clear, and that we had inferred correctly -- in general -- what you were talking about.

Along the same line, as you suggested, I have written to Cranston asking for Helms' testimony for Feb. 7, 1973.

We'll pass your exchange with Mailer unless it develops into a truly meaningful Donnybrook. Make that cap T and M.

No, of course, no objection to passing along the reviews of E. Howard's books. The only reservation would be that they were written for private consumption only and that publication would be unthinkable. Not that they're publishable; it's just that there was no thought of their going beyond you.

Sorry to hear that you've lost out to the machete again. How about giving that worthy weapon a rest and using a small saw and hand-axe instead? Sooner or later you are going to run out of thumbs.

Thanks for all the detailed reactions to the indictments. We find no fault with them (the reactions, not the indictments) but do feel that an apparent stiffening in Congress, together with all the stuff coming out about tax evasions, adds to the impact of the indictments and that GL is considerably worse off as of now. Best,

