

Would you please finish addressing this to Bob Truehaft? I presume he is in the phone book. Hal knows how to reach him if he isn't. But if he is still practising law, he should be. He was a friend years ago. He is the lawyer who was with the Click editor and me at the hearing of the House Patents Committee mentioned in my Journal notes, after I exposed the Nazi-front chemical company in Hugh Scott's district. I am asking his advice and help on collecting what the California wholesaler owes me. Once when I was out there he and Jessica had Hal and me to lunch. Thanks. HW 1/5/73 By the way, on the clips I send, check the dates. I sometimes forget to remember the year has changed when I hurry. Some may say 72.

50a

JAN 3 1973

Ed, Many thanks for 1/73 ~~in~~ CJR piece on Crazy Sherman. I'll read read soon as can. Meanwhile, increasingly the death of Dorothy Hunt becomes interesting, which is not to say that there is anything abnormal. There are always things that look funny. My greater interest at the moment is in abnormal behavior by Hunt, Carlstead and feds as well as locals. To the degree it is no problem, I hope you will keep the sharp eye uncovered. HW 1/2/73

JAN 3 1973

50c

Mitgang wrote 12/29 to say he had sent my letter to Warren Wwaver. "Of our Washington Bureau, who covers the judiciary". I have just seen how and know what to expect. Anything else would be a pleasant surprise. Especially given the utter incompetence of the Times' effort to use the law and the new order and of Belair's reporting of both. HW 1/2/73

JAN 3 1973

50a