

Alock's going to Houma to be 1st asst DA makes little sense. It is the sticks and he is FEB 14 1973
good lawyer. I've heard him held forth about from Judge Ahea down to Pershing Gervais. He 78
was a good lawyer, a good prosecutor. Can't understand his not going to a good law firm in
N.O. if he lacked the means to establish his own practise. He was under no illusions about
Garrison way back, if he was loyal to his job. I have a hunch JG may figure in this, or
disgust about N.O. Legendre was not a big man in the office. Don't know much about him,
do not attribute much significance to his departure. But Alock going to second-string at
Houma from being a N.O. judge is too much. HW 2/18/73

What is not terrifying about the Hutschnecker Plan is petrifying. It is the overly-
simplistic dedication of fascism with what I take to be a little paranoia thrown in. I FEB 16 1973
not known of this. It is the typical authoritarian approach, the typical misuse of mis- 77
understood "science". I find his inability of comment on his treatment of Nixon fascinating.
Why should Nixon have gone to New York as v-p. for his physical checkups? He does not say
there was nothing wrong with Nixon. He says only that Nixon had no "mental illness", as
the H see "mental illness". He also says he found no physical illness. What he does not
say is that he found no emotional problem of any kind - as he sees that, too. Daily I
become more convinced that Nixon is more than just flakey, and in a very sinister way.
I think I see increasingly signs of paranoia, too. Many thanks. HW 2/15/73

77 FEB 16 1973
Some of the clips you sent with 2/9 and on which I've taken
no time for comment great, like McCabe column on kicking
the press around. The Mailer Fifth Estate was new to me until
I got the PW clip I'm sending. I wish I knew how to get in
touch with him for I fear the loneyes will now lay court
again. There are so many of them with so much free time they
always turn the possible into the impossible and defame all
serious people. There is no end to this or the lack of
scruple with which it has been pursued. I'm asking Walter,
who should be able to learn, to try. If he does, I'll write
another letter. The record shows that favorable response also
means nothing, witness LATimes probably now TIME, whose
man was interrupted by release of the depositions and the
probability that Colson now will not sue. Then there was the
the Star, whose reporter turned on and then got reassigned
to a different story. It just works out that way. HW 2/15/73