

HW: Returning herewith your letter to the girl who was in NO and your request for an opinion. We know you tried hard to fill us in, but there are just too many strange characters involved for us to venture one. When you mentioned Matt, it meant nothing. When you mentioned Herron, it also meant nothing. Then we inferred that Matt and Herron were the same person. The combination of ~~names~~ rang a faint bell, and we felt we had heard the name somewhere. Looked in our NO card index, which shows him as subpoenaed as a Shaw witness (for the defense) but no indication he testified. And a photographer. In my experience photographers can be anything, with their special way of seeing the world through their own lenses, but never or rarely ordinary. So we're at sea. The only thing I'd venture to say is that anyone who would mark a letter to you "EMERGENCY" is dangerously subjective and has a formidable blind spot of some kind. Beyond that it would be unwise to extrapolate from this uninformed sector.

jdw30march1972