Dear Js, 8/4/72

By the time you finish reading this, I will not have to explain the particular kind of weariness that besets me before 8 p.m. Earlier I prepared a memo in the form of a letter to Howard and, having eaten supper and gone over the clips Lil selected from the Post while Iwas with Bud and then Jim, I can't avoid this memo. Byt my heart isn't im it. Were I subject to despression, this would be all I need. I just feel weary for a while, I suppose not unusual considering only those of the pressures of which you known, not all by any means, and the unrelenting years, and then I snap out of it.

Jim calls himself a night person. Havong worked for a morning paper, I can understand this. And having simultaneously hitchehiked when traffic was scant and roads miserable 15 miles that morning after a catnap to get to 8 a.m. college classes, I can also under-

stand that there is no such thing, faced with need.

I'd arranged with Bud to be at his office by 9:30 a.m. and asked for a meeting with him and Jim (I think you know I am fond of Jim and think he has a good mind. He is the only one of Bud Committee I can trust at all). Knowing Jim, instead of telling him yesterday that we had this meeting this a.m., Bud personally awakened him at 8:30. I was at Bud Office before 9:15. Buf came in about 9:45. He then phoned im at home and got him up again. Fil and I had done a few things before leaving, driven into Fredercik for the mail so it would not be vulnerable in our mailbox, 500 feet from the house and hidden from it, and then driven 50 miles to DC through rmsh-hour traffic, parked the car, and gone to Bud office. Good beginning, huh?

Bud and I talked about various things and Jim finally came. By them he had prepared excerpts from the dubs of the tapes he had heard at my place. Took him three trips, losuing up for me three days plus those he'd been supposed to come but couldn't.

Naturally enough, with a law office, Bud had a few things to attend to when he got there. I didn't really waste the time because there were some things I could do by phone

and did, but these were not my purpose.

Finally, we could talk. I told him I could not again have such an experience, that three months is too long a time for me to keep things in mind, that the work I had done, if im hadn t indicated it, was more than we could reasonable expect when I set out in May, and that instead of debriefing me as he had been sipposed to on my return and then dubbing tapes while listening to them so he'd know what to have in the habeas corpus petition, him had told me that he had to do legal research. I said that the petition xontains none, so I didn't know what hisnassiattnt had done in that time, but there are too many things I can't get to to ever let this happen again. I told hi bluntly that while the organization of the petition was pretty decent, and if it was almost all from my published work, to which I had no objection at all, it was poor in selection, had omitted the major telling points from it , didn't look to the future hedds, and was far short of what was possible and could have been completed long before this without the waste of im's time and his, which doesn't concern me, and mine, which does. I asked what in the heal I'd gone off to prepare the new material needed for this for it the whole f... thing was done without consultation with me (meaning my published work and this new) without pay or prospect or hope of any reward and all the things immportant to me having to be laid aisde to do it. I told him the entire thing had to be redone and that it should never have been commenced and that there wasn't a single legal citation in it. He said he was distressed that it wasn't done, that it had to be promptly, and that he was about to take it over. I couldn't tell him he knew less than Jim, which is true. Do I said we needed a different approach to meet the special immediate problem.

This problem is the centingency that Ray will be among the first shifted back to Petros, a different justisdiction. On sich things, unless I have specific knowledge, I'd not fiream of having an openion. If he says the judicial climate in Nashville is perferable, I can accept it. The noxville judge is presiding over the Kerner trail in Chicago. Bud fears that any cases filed in his court will be sent to Mamphis. If this is the case, and I have no knowledge, then it could be a disasted.

While we were discussing this, 'im came. He was supposed to have a tape of the Ray interview for me so he didn't. He and Bud had seen Ray last weekend. He had two of the taoes only, one hour of the time he and Bud were there! (Understand that in my view Jim is the best of them!).

Ray thinks the return to Petros, Knox. jurisdiction, cans be in three weeks. Bud thinks the Nashville court is better for us. I have to adcept both as possible. So the questions was, could the pertition be prepared in time. Jim said it could be. I said he couldn't have the affidavits alone ready in that time and the petition needed major work. Strangely, no argument, so I suppose Jim now realized the import of what I brought back in mdi*amy. So, the question was how, as I put it, to stop the legal clock. Impossible, these legal brains said. Nonsese, said the only non-lawyer there. So, the question was how.

It never dawned on them that as long as Ray is within the jurisdication of any federal court anything they files establishes jurisdiction. o, they agreed to that, but what file? I said what you can legitimately allege you need to prepare a proper and adequate petition habeas corpus. What? What has been withheld@ask the court to direct the prosecution to deliver that which it has been the obligation of the prsoecution to deliver since Aaron Burr (there are other cases going back almost that far). If you need other basis, Canon 5. For what? Well, fortunately I had some of that with me. I laid out on Bud' sesk the picture I've never shown anyone of Charles Stephens supervising, so it says, the preparation of a skecth of the man he alledly saw fleeing at a time he couldn't see anything through the alcohol vapors. And the the picture I'd gotten of this sketth being delivered to the Mexican police) mexico City datelime). Do you think the most corrupt judge in the world, says I, would believe there is no preosecution report on this? Do you have it? Ans. No. Did you ever see a better likeness of the Pealey Plaza bums? No, of course, not new. Noe they have what Jimmy couldn't know relates so closely to this, Foreman's efforts to hornswoggle him into an identification of the look-alike all the nuts had fiz xed on, calling him "Frenchy". The feds, of course, could see the potential. They exploited it. Now we have them and their crookedness to exploit. And that is but one thing. So, all of a sudden what they don't teach at Harvard law (common sense and simple logic) made sense and Jim's first assignment is to prepare such a think, perhaps mandamus, and get it before the federal judge in Memphis promptly. If they need more, I've got plently more than I gave them without going to the guts of the case.

As I have said so often, this isn't to say so much of me as it is to point out how

little there is. This, to me, is simple, baby stuff.

They are worried about the length of the petition. I said, I think simply, you have one shot. Dare you leave anything out? Does the judge have to agree on more than one point? can you be certain on what one point he'll find for you? So, give him ten or twenty, remind him that he need only one, you've given him political protection against what he might regard as a dangerous decision, and you've loaded a record that defense him if he is willing to be honest or courageous. Length? what the hell is the problem of length. You can file a f.....g book in support and keep your essence down to less than 10 pages. But it must capture his interest. If you do that, he'll be happy with the length-if you don't put him to sleep. I guess this isn't in the Harvard law school, either, but it was persuasive. So, there will be an initial summary given some kind of at least superficially proper legal designation and attachments, one on fact (with attachments like affidavits in support), and one on law. They call that a Memorandum in support.

At this point I'veabout decided that the trouble with lawyers is that they go to law school and practise the law, both of which blind them. Bud, by the way, also taught

it and Jim's father is a AlawOschool dean.

Except for a few words of profanity it would have been too out-of-character to omit,

given my reputation, I was rather restrained an offended nobody.

So, without demurrer, it was agreed that the three-week schedule for completion of a decent petition was unrealiztic, that Jim would have the rough drafts of the affidavts (I've alrady done 100% of his work save selection-he has too much) and then come up with them and for three days the beginning of next week we'd go over his petition and redo it. Even the three days was their idea, so I suspect that aside from knowing the deficiencies I have discovered in the petition, from what im has spotted in the affidavits alone, tells him how much there is to do. And it could have been done two months ago-and should have beeh. At one point Bod got interrupted and Jim was reading for me his condensation of one of my interviews for an affidavit and it suudenty dammed on me that he had sanitized it and in the process castrated it. In a few exchanges he saw it. So, I have copies of his aummaries but I'm just not up to that new frustration tonight! Now I'll have to remember what he gutted to make it nice and lean for people who aren't. I said you've got great direct quotes. Ive me a good reason for not using them. That did it. So, the affidavits

may not be as close to first-draft completion as it seems, either. We'll send the first drafts to the deponents for their approval or correction first and they, with their approval, will prepare the final copies for notarization.

In all of this, when I showd Bud the pictures I'd taken him four of the six of which im expected, he was rather impressed. I said, simply, that when I went out to get these pictures, and I got more if not all, not being able to think of paying for all, you said you'd spend \$50.00, so I didn't trouble you to pay for them. He got the point sharply. The initial cost was 500 miles of transportation, and that we a beginning not touched by \$500. Da, ned if I was going to beg or wheedle, after getting him his client, giving him an airtight case, and then doing what they hadn't the ability to do to firm it. The other things to be included in this initial motion are all things of which they should know, all elemental, and none of their concept or development. I started preapred for that February a year ago. It was obvious, didn't require legal education or great genius, just a little common sense.

I insisted that we present no new evidence, that the restrict ourselves to the points on which there can be an evidentiary hearing granted, and then uncork. Or, if we do not get it, have the new evidence for other uses, a last resort.

It was so bad they haven't even asked earlier counsel for what they have for the appeals. Naturally, both are not anxious to help, because both were saddled with conflicts that couldn't be resolved. It never occurred to them that with letters they couldn't lose. Wither they did get the few things I suggested (of which tyed also didn't know), in which case their petition if thereby enormously fortified, as is the allegation of irreconcilable conflict, or they can't lose by gettin it. So this can be comprhensible to you, I got Hanes to admit that he couldn't get a cent until he got Ray back to the US, that Ray wanted to appeal the extradition and he talked Ray out of it on the grounds it was bad prr., and they

have had the taoe for a year and a half. If Hanes won't write them the kind of letter they want, aren't they better off with their letter of request, his unanswered receipt for certified mail, and a transcript and dub of the tape? Political crimes not extradictable under the treaty. Ray could never get a word in edgewise with Foreman. So, he was forced to write out what he wanted Foreman to consider before Foreman git there. He gave Foreman two full pages of reasons for not pleading guilty about 2/18/69, amout three weeks before Foreman dragooned him into it, and Foreman made his big mistake, flew to the family and read that wix to them. I've got the separate but consistent accounts of the fourt from whom I'd dare take statements plus news clips showing Foreman was then there. They differ in recollection of the reasons, some remembering some , others remembering others, but that in itself is more probative. Let Foreman say he doesn't have it or he never had it, and he will then be confronted by not only the oaths of four who, regardless of how parti pris, are not as much so as Percy, and he is left with the need to explain the trip to St. "ouis, full accounts of which I got from the morgue of the P-D. Would any judge think he went there for social purpose when he values his time at \$250 an hour? It is close to a can(t loose situation. And at this late date, it has to be my idea.

With all I've given them on Gerald Frank, they've not even though of using it. So, they now understand that when the prosecution gave Frank what it withheld from the defense, and they didn't see that the obligation to let the defense have exculpatory information is as old as the land, they have a legitimate motion to file.

Can you begin to understand how smothering this all is? I can't begin to force myself to make a full record and explanation. This is far from all of it. I can add only that justice is too important to entrust to the lawyers.

There really is much more. I'm just not kup to it. L'ke the scientific tests, not one of which they have, having been content to ask and be refused. Or the fake stipulations all nullified by the final void dire (they show ahat Ray refused to do). Frank prints them, but they are invalid.

Really wearily,

M