Dear Harold:

This, with enclosures, will be a partial reply, at least, to your mailings of Sept 26, 29 and 30, and Oct. 2 and 3.

Please go ahead and arrange for the pictures you need. We'll do what we can to help. We'd give you a firmer commitment than that if you could cite us some definite figure, but we know you can't do that. Meanwhile rest assured we'll do what we can, and be glad to.

Yes, you can assume with anything we send you it is not to be returned unless we attach a note asking for its return. This is your own habit and the only reasonable one with so much stuff going back and forth.

One of the enclosures is an FYI copy of a workup Jenifer has done on one Allan Mancino, a peripheral character in the Jackson case. I feel reasonably certain that the only reason some liberal attorney doesn't get him sprung on a writ of habeas corpus is the proably certain knowledge that nowhere in the state of Nevada is a judge who would consider the idea. Apparently absolutely nobody gets in to see this guy. We thought you'd find it interesting in any case, and the possibility exists that this man could become crucial to the whole case if he is not completely brainwashed before anyone gets to him. Never seen any indication of what he's in for — could be dope; he could have a special weakness they're counting on exploiting.

That's all for now. Best from us both,

idw

Why didn't he consult his/Source?

"Convicted of robbery in Los Angeles two years ago, the 25 year old Mancino was sentenced to an indeterminate sentence of five years to life, which give him — in prison parlance — a "life top." He is a lifer. Shortly after he arrived in the Soledad facility for which his crime and personality classified him, he was charged with "an infamous crime against nature." Prosecuted in Monterey County, he was sentenced to an additional one to 20 years and transferred to the San Quentin adjustment center." — Robert Patterson, Examiner News Staff, Carson City, Nev., SFExaminer 8 Sep 71.