Too many things press upon me, too many with deadlines go undone, so I reply to your valuable 7/13 wothout having read clippings enclosed.

I do have the official copies of the affidavits. But if it is not too much trouble and too much cost, there is a value in having the copies you are sending. I can mark them up as I read them. The actual clippings are sent em too sporadically and are incomplete. The stories also are valuabel, so despite what I have recently said of not doing it, I'd appreciate it if you could continue. I read what I can twixt and tween other things.

The Brener quote is accurate(p.6) as, tragically, is the prediction (36). I ask you to consider the prediction further, for as used in the book it is inaccurate, Garrison winning that election big and Gervais hurting him not at all. Brener's book did not appear until the ned of 1969, quite some time after Gervais became a fink. Brener represented a number of those in whom Garrison was interested, most not able to pay his usual fees, and I never could figure how he could afford to take the time off to write a book for which the prospects were not good except in an effort to have it figure in the pending election or is oursuit of unseen interests. It may have been political rhetoric, but history shows the Garrison rejoinder about police investigators to have been right. 0 ly he also ignored it. Pp. 38-9 also fair and accurate. I think I have clips someplace on police misconduct by Gervais, and I certainly believe itnprobable. So, I think you can also accept Plot or Politics as correct. It is wothout doubt that Gervais is as described and it is probable the descriptions are understatements. But he is no fool, only open. So, in retrospect, I presume that once JG started sounding off, JEH started looking to into PG, and the senior agents in NO should have had a good beginning without doing any work, from just knowing him and scuttlebut, for PG is and always was very open. I suggest that it took them until early 1969 to build a solid enough case, and then they moved.

We share the same perplexities, but not the more pointed one on haste in the enclosed carbon of my letter to Ivon. Haste is hardly the words for the last part, and I can see no ready explanation, except perhaps an attempt to defuse the Pentagon Papers and the adverse press on threatening to suppress and charge the press with espionage-act violation. Unless there had been an unreported leak and they had to, without having a real case against Jim. The transcript of the last tape, PG and JG's home night 6/29, shows even his great haste, his out-of-character conversation, perhaps betokening fear. There could have been clerical leaks, perhaps not intended as leaks, merely indiscretions. There had to be much clerical help on this, and never forget that N.O. is sui generis. Only an outsider can understand now much. I predicted the last election better than he or any of his did. I predict he could be re-elected tomorrow, sick and disgraced, without a runoff, for he will be regarded as framed and a victim of federal intrusion, there hated more than anywhere. Not one of his enemies, not one of the few of Shaw's friend I tried to see in no case from interest in Shaw) declined. The media was always helpful. Even Rosemary James, who saw to the suppression of printed reporting of the appearance of 0 in NO, helped me get the N.O. Press Club to announce it. And TV covered well. WDSU-TV let me have a copy of their footage, trusting me to take it to the film lab. WWL showed it to me. Etc. Couldn't happen elsewhere. But this does not explain federal haste.

If reading stories, affs, discloses anything, I'll write spparately, for I may be able to mail this tonight. Again, Many thanks. By the way, please watch L.A. developments for me. I have a tip the PD has started a re-investigation, quietly, and that they are after Blehr and one Buick, just released from "cNeil's Ishand pen. Not as assassins. And of Mafia tie, which I'm not ready to buy, of Sirhan.

Sincerely.

Hol