Watergate Figure Called

Firm on C.I.A. Reference

WASHINGTON, May 23—Alfred C. Baldwin 3d, the former Federal Bureau of Investigation agent who monitored the Watergate wiretaps in 1972 insists that he used and meant the initials "C.I.A." in a recorded interview later given to United States District Court, his attorney said today. Federal prosecutors told the court when the interview was submitted that the "C.I.A." references in the transcript should

submitted that the "C.I.A." references in the transcript should have read "C.R.P.," meaning the Committee for the Re-election of the President, and that Mr. Baldwin had told them that he misspoke in using "C.I.A."

Mr. Baldwins attorney, Robert C. Mirto of New Haven, said in a telephone interview that he knew "for a fact" that no one from the United States Attorney's office here had consulted Mr. Baldwin, about the Attorney's orrice here had consulted Mr. Baldwin about the purported discrepancy. Asked whether Mr. Baldwin has used the initials C.I.A. intentionally in the interview, Mr. Mirto replied, "Of course."

Mr. Mirto confirmed an account in today's Los Angeles Times that quoted Mr. Baldwin as saying "There's no way I would have ever told them" two original Watergate prose-cutors, Earl J. Silbert and Seymour Glanzer—"that I was saying 'C.R.P.' instead of saying 'C.I.A.'

Mr. Baldwin could not be

Mr. Baldwin could not be reachedf or comment:

The tape recording of the interview with Mr. Baldwin was made on Sept. 28, 1972, by Jack Nelson and Ronald J. Ostrow, two reporters in the Washington Bureau of the Los Angeles Times, which Mr. Nelson now heads. An account of

the interview was published by

the interview was published by the newspaper a few days later. In it, Mr. Baldwin described his role in recording the tele-phone conversations picked up by the wiretaps on the tele-phone of Lawrence F. O'Brien, the Democratic national chair-man, and R. Spencer Oliver, a Democratic party official, from a distening post across the street a listening post across the street from the Democrats' national headquarters.

The recording of the inter-The recording of the interview, nearly five hours long, was subsequently surrendered to John J. Sirica, then the chief judge of the United States District court here and the presiding judge at the first Watergate that. The tape had been subpoenaed for Judge Sirica's court by some of the seven original Watergate defendants.

During the early trial, in original Watergate defendants. During the early trial, in which five of the seven men pleaded guilty to wiretapping and burglary charges and two were convicted by a jury Mr. Glanzer, then the head of the fraud section of the United States Attorney's office here, told Judge Sirica that there were "a few typos"—typographical errors—in the transcript of the tape produced by a court reporter.

"Incidentally, your honor,"
Mr. Glanzer said referring to
the transcript, "there are a few
typos wheer it says C.I.A. It
should be C.R.P."

Mr. Glanzer, who is now in private law phactice here and private law phactice here and who also could not be reached, for comment, told Judge Sirica, that he "sat down with Mr. Baldwin last night after court, and we went over this, and the reason I say there were these errors there is because he told

me that he never stated that."

Mr. Silbert, then the principay Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Co-

ford's nominee to head that office, repeated to Judge Sirica later that day that he and Mr. Glanzr had reviewed the transcript and that "the language C.I.A. in the transcript was a misprint."

misprint."
Mr. Silbert said today that he would not "comment one way or the other" on the question of Mr. Baldwin's veracity, and added that he could not now remember whether Mr. Baldwin had told him directly to substitute "C.I.P." for C.I.A.," "but I know what Seymour told me Baldwin told him."

me Baldwin told him."
According to Mr. Nelson, the newsman, Mr. Baldwin made several unmistakable references

to the intelligence agency during the interview, including a reference to the fact that James W. McCord Jr., a career intelligence agent who retired to work for the Nixon re-election committee, had spent 20 years

committee, had spent 20 years in the C.I.A. LOWIAY
Last Tuesday Mr. Glanzer told the Senate Judiciary Committee, which is considering Mr. Silbert's pending nomination, that he and Mr. Baldwin and Charles Morgan Jr., the director of the national office of the American Civil Liberties Union here, had subsequently listened to the tape recording itself and discovered that he had made a "misstatement" to the court based on Mr. Baldwin's earlier alleged representa-

win's earmer ameged representa-tion.

"It turned out that it was 'C.I.A.' rather than 'C.R.P.' and Mr. Baldwin so acknowledged to Mr. Morgan, in my presence, that he had made a mistake," Mr. Glanzer told the Senators.

But the Los Angeles Times account, as well as quoting Mr. Baldwin as saying that he never made the initial admission of error, added that he had never subsequently corrected purpoted error before before Mr.

Glanzer, Mr. Morgan or anyone else.

Mr. Morgan also said that no such correction had ever been mentioned in his presence.

Both Mr. Silbert and Mr. Glanzer told the Senate Committee that, in any event, they could not recall with certainty that they had ever corrected the misleading impression given to Judge Sirica that Mr. Baldto Judge Sirica that Mr. Bald-win had never mentioned the C.I.A. during the interview.

C.I.A. during the interview.

Mr. Glanzer added that he "was sensitive to the fact that there may have been an attempt to inject a phony defense that the C.I.A. was responsible" for some part of the Watergate incident, "because that kind of defense, spilled out in the courtroom subtly, is very difficult to deal with."

One of the references to the

One of the references to the C.I.A. by Mr. Baldwin that fell within the purview of the prosecutors' remarks about typographical errors was his assertion that he would not, "rule out" the "possibility" of C.I.A. involvement in the Watergate

bugging.
Mr. Mirto said: "There was a Mr. Mirto said: "There was a very, very, very strong feeling on the Government's part and on our part at one time that this was a 'company,' or a C.I.A. operation. And there was a tremendous feeling on the part of the United States Attorneys that there was a good possibility that this might have been a C.I.A. operation."