## NYTIMES APR 8 197 3D CONNALLY GIFT HINTED AT TRIAL

Jacobsen Concedes Doubts About Amount of Alleged Milk Industry Payoffs

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, April 7-The key prosecution witness at the bribery trial of John B. Connally conceded under crossexamination today that he was uncertain whether he had given the former Secretary of the Treasury \$10,000 or \$15,000 in alleged 1971 payoffs.

Jake Jacobsen, a one-time dairy industry lawyer, testified in United States District Court last week that he twice gave Mr. Connally \$5,000 in illegal gratuities in return for Mr. Connally's help in increasing Federal milk price supports.

But under cross-examination today by Edward Bennett Williams, the chief defense attorney, Mr. Jacobsen confirmed that he told investigators last year he might have given Mr. Connally a third \$5,000 payment but could not remember doing so.

Mr. Jacobsen's uncertainty on the witness stand today about the total amount of the alleged 1971 payments was expected to be a central ingredient in defense efforts to portray him as an embezzler who pocketed the money he later accused Mr. Connally of accept ing.

At the prodding of the defense attorney, Mr. Jacobsen Continued on Page 22, Column 2

verified records of 1974 grand jury proceedings that showed he had once acknowledged "an inkling" of recollection that the Associated Milk Producers, Inc., had given him a third \$5,000 to forward to Mr. Connaily

Jury proceedings that showed he had once acknowledged "an inkling" of recollection that the Associated Milk Producers, Inc., had given him a third \$5,000 on Sept. 24, 1971. The Government has not accused Inc., had given him a third \$5,000 to forward to Mr. Connally.

"The only thing I don't have a firm recollection of is giving it to Mr. Connally," Mr. Jacobsen was an inveterate political associates — perhaps oven former President Lyndon B. Johnson—to evade criminal of the process of the converted to the process of the converted by the position of the converted to the process of the process of the process of the process of the charges against Mr. Connally are that he accepted \$5,000 to Sept. 24, 1971. The Government has not accused Mr. Connally.

The charges against Mr. Connald accepted \$5,000 to Sept. 24, 1971. The Government has not accused Mr. Connally.

Accordingly, in an effort to undercut Mr. Jacobsen's testimony as to payments on May 14, and Sept. 24, Mr. Williams asked the prosecution witness to account for testimony of the processor of the lunited States whom you had once worked for?" Mr. Williams asked, provided a third \$5,000 to Mr. Jacobsen in late 1971.

As Mr. Connally, seated at the defense table, gently shook his head, Mr. Jacobsen told Mr. Williams he had to infer from the testimony and various records that he had made the third with the jury the possibility that Mr. Jacobsen would incriminate a friend to save himself.

Referring to conversations. political associates — perhaps even former President Lyndon B. Johnson—to evade criminal prosecution himself.

Mr. Jacobsen, peering intently at Mr. Williams over the top of low-cut reading glasses and answering questions in a low voice and calm manner, did the following in five hours of questioning today:

The confirmed that between

The dept.

The affirmed that the prosecutors had met with him 17 times over the last year and that, in some of the meetings, they had prepared him for the trial by acting the part of Mr. Williams and conducting crossexamination.

The conceded that he had een "mistaken" in telling He conceded that he had been "mistaken" in telling prosecutors at one point last year that on May 14, 1971, the date of the first alleged payment to Mr. Connally, he had placed half the \$10,000 in a Washington bank box before meeting with the former Treasury Secretary. Mr. Jacobsen testified last Thursday, on direct examination, that he deposited \$5,000 in the bank box telling posited \$5,000 in the bank box

Two Gifts in Charge

Referring to conversations betwen Mr. Jacobsen and prosecutors last year, Mr. Williams asked if Mr. Jacobsen had been "able to infer that you made the third payment."

"Yes, sir," the witness said

Mr. Jacobsen appeared bristle at questions put to him by Mr. Williams about a series of Federal investions: Federal investigations Mr. Jacobsen's Texas bank ventures last year.

"Didn't you offer to give

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3 after meeting with Mr. Connalevidence against former President Lyndon Johnson if you ident Lyndon Johnson if you could plea bargain your way out of those charges?" Mr. Wil-

Shared Interest in Birds

Mr. Jacobson was once a special assistant to President Johnhad been "able to infer that you made the third payment."

"Yes, sir," the witness said softly.

"And it was the product of 'an inkling'?" Mr. Williams went on.

"Mr. Jacobson was once a special assistant to President Johnham and he has known Mr. Conhally for 25 years. The witness agreed with Mr. Williams today that he and Mr. Connally had occasionally discussed a "common interest"—birds.

The blond-papeled country in the blond-papeled country in the product of the pr Mr. Jacobson was once a spe-

The confirmed that between an inkling?" Mr. Williams went an i

executive editor of the Washington Post, a corporate client of Mr. Williams's.

Most of the defense questioning today, however, consisted of laborious legal blockbuilding by Mr. Williams. The defense attorney introduced

into the trial record portions of testimony Mr. Jacobsen had given to Federal grand juries, Congressional investigators and lawyers for the dairy industry. lawyers for the dairy industry since the milk fund scandal emerged as part of the Watergate inquiry in 1973.

In each instance, Mr. Williams introduced testimony in which Mr. Jacobsen initially testified that Mr. Conally twice turned dow nan offer of \$10,000 to use as political contribu-tions and that Mr. Jacobsen kept the money for more than two years in bank deposit box in Austin, Tex.

Mr. Jacobsen testified last Mr. Jacobsen testified last week, under questioning by Frank M. Tuerkheimer, an associate Watergate special prosecutor, that the account of the untouched \$10,000 was a story Mr. Connally had devised in late 1973 in an attempt to cover up the payoffs.