kon Fund Trustees Bar Legal Fees

Associated Press

Nixon's leftover 1972 campaign funds have decided to a meeting in Washington Wedpolicy, although both Dudley will have the right "to exampaign funds have decided to a meeting in Washington Wedpolicy, although both Dudley will have the right "to example to the trustees who will have policy, although both Dudley will have the right "to example to the trustees who will have policy, although both Dudley will have the right "to example to the trustees who will have been policy, although both Dudley will have the right "to example to the trustees who will have been policy, although both Dudley will have the right to example to the trustees who will have been policy, although both Dudley will have the right to example to the trustees who will have been policy, although both Dudley will have the right to example to the trustees who will have been policy, although both Dudley will have the reasons why a end a policy that allows use of the money to pay legal fees of campaign officials former found guilty of misdemeanors.

The two, Charles E. Potter and Guilford Dudley Jr., also have drawn up language for a trust agreement that specifically bars the third trustee, Maurice H. Stans, from taking part in any decision on whether to pay Stans' legal expenses.

Stans, former Secretary of Commerce and finance chief of Mr. Nixon's re-election campaign, is on trial in New York federal court on charges of lying to a grand jury and con-\$200,000 campaign contribution from financier Robert L. contribu-

Potter, a former U.S. sena-tor from Michigan, and Dudley, a Nashville, Tenn., insurance executive, said in separate telephone interviews yesterday that they also have proposed language that would deny reimbursement of legal fees to a former campaign official who is acquitted of felony charges if the trustees believe he is guilty.

Potter and Dudley said they both informed Stans of the changes by telephone last Saturday

While they said Stans did not object, Potter said it came

over to the lawyers."

"I said, 'It's going to be done or else you're going to lose a couple of trustees,' " Potter said.

"I told Stans if it wasn't charges. done I'd resign," said Dudley. "He said, 'Well, it will never eight da come to that.' "

Two trustees of President discussed the new lauguage at General and campaign chief, ment of legal expenses will be to the trustees who will have was a change from previous left up to the trustees who

> long-time lawyer for the Finance Committee to Re-elect signed the agreement. the President, which Stans headed from its inception early in 1972 until it closed its books last month, brought up numerous questions, saying, and the other thing."

"I'm not sure about this, that "But we just ruled him down," said Dudley. "We said we're gonna do this or else."

Jackson is a law partner of Kenneth W. Parkinson, the finance committee's chief outside counsel in most of the spiracy arising from a secret major legal actions that arose from the Watergate break-in in June, 1972.

Parkinson was indicted in the Watergate cover-up along with six other former cam-paign and White House officials last month.

In a financial report filed with the General Accounting Office March 10, the 1972 Campaign Liquidation which succeeded the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President as custodian of \$3.5 million in surplus campaign funds, listed an outstanding bill of \$25,000 to the Jackson-Parkinson firm.

Under an agreement signed Feb. 11 by Potter, Dudley and Stans, the Campaign Liquidaas something of a surprise and tion Trust said it would pay lethat Stans said, "Let's turn it gal fees for any former camgal fees for any former campaign official found guilty or innocent of a misdemeanor and would also reimburse an official for expenses if he was found innocent of felony

> The agreement, signed just eight days before Stans went on trial along with John N.

The previous policy, devised the flood of Watergate revelafees only up to the time of an indictment.

Dudley said that, under the new language he and Potter tutional grounds.

Dudley said he and Potter Mitchell, the former Attorney are insisting on, reimburseand Potter said in interviews ine fully the reasons why a He said Thomas P. Jackson, last month they had not been person was found not guilty aware of that when they as well as the circumstances surrounding the charges . . ."

He said this would cover with the help of both Stans such possibilities as a misand Mitchell last April before trial on a technical point, expiration of the statute of limtions began, was to pay legal itations or the case of a person who confessed to a crime but whose confession was ruled inadmissible on consti-