HOUSE,410-4,GIVES SUBPOENA POWER IN NIXON INQUIRY

Judiciary Panel Is Authorized to Summon Anyone, Including President, With Evidence

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 6—The House of Representatives voted 410 to 4 today to grant the Judiciary Committee broad constitutional power to investigate President Nixon's conduct. The House thus formally ratified the impeachment inquiry begun by the committee the panel to subpoena anyone, including the President

anyone, including the President, with evidence pertinent to the investigation.

It was only the second time in the nation's history that such a step, directed at a President, had been taken in the House. But the roll-call vote was not a test of impeachment sentiment.

The vote followed an hour of debate in which no one rose to defend Mr. Nixon, but Democrats and Republicans quarreled over the best method to guarantee that the inquiry would not become partisan.

'No Other Way'

The tone was struck by the Judiciary Committee chairman, Representative Peter W. Rodino Jr., Democrat of New Jersey, when he told an unusually attentive House:

"Whatever the result what-

"Whatever the result, whatever we learn or conclude, let us now proceed with such care and decency and thoroughness and honor that the vast majority of the American people, and their children after them, will say: This was the right course. There was no other way."

The four members who opposed the resolution, all Republicans, were Ben B. Blackburn of Georgia, Earl F. Landgrebe of Indiana, Carlos J. Moorhead of California and David C. Treen of Louisiana.

Mr. Moorhead, a member of the Judiciary Committee, objected that the resolution gave the panel such unrestricted subpoena power that it "can only precipitate a constitutional confrontation and further divide the people of our country."

The significance of the House action was illustrated by Mr. Rodino's statement that the power to issue and enforce a

Continued on Page 22, Column 4

House 410 to 4. Gives Subpoena Power in Panel's Inquiry on Nixon

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

subpoena would be drawn directly from the Constitution, and would "not depend upon: any statutory provisions or require judicial enforcement."

He said that a subpoena would be issued to Mr. Nixon only if the committee thought it necessary to reach a "fair" judgment whether there were grounds for impeachment.

"The gentleman from New! Hampshire hopes that will not be necessary," Representative Louis C. Wyman, Republican of New Hampshire, said as he stared across the quiet chamber at Mr. Rodino.

"The gentleman from New Jersey does also," Mr. Rodino replied.

He told newsmen later that no decisions would be made within the next few days on requests for evidence to either the White House or to the Watergate special prosecutor, Leon Jaworski.

The resolution was adopted after the House rejected, 342 to 70, a parliamentary effort to open the measure to amendments that would have set an April 30 deadline for comple-poena. tion of the inquiry and allowed the committee's senior Republican to issue subpoenas independently. Today's approval of the resolution had been expected.

inquiry fairly and expeditiously for amendment." was "good with me."

of the restrictions.



Peter W. Rodino Jr., right, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, talking with Representative Edward Hutchinson, Republican of Michigan, before the House voted.

the investigation. It authorized jumped to a conclusion." Mr. Rodino and the ranking Republican, Representative Edward Hutchinson of Michigan, to issue subpoenas jointly. If tee, composed of 21 Democrats and 17 Republicans, must decide whether to issue a sub-

Representative Robert Mc-Clory, Republican of Illinois, asserted that a fixed deadline would assure a troubled nation that the Watergate turmoil would soon end.

lican leader, signaled the fate ing together. "Imagine this im- to Senate Democrats. of the parliamentary maneuver portant resolution, historic in when he declared that Mr. its impact, being presented Rodino's pledge to conduct the here without an opportunity

Representative William L. Only 67 of 178 Republicans Hungate, Democrat of Missouri, voting on the issue and 3 of retorted dryly that it would be 234 Democrats disagreed and irresponsible to set an "arbisought unsuccessfully adoption trary" deadline that might put the committee in "the position As approved, the measure of the skydiver whose chute

that the inquiry could degen- at its options" later if the in- today as an equally "solemn erate into partisanship without quiry became partisan. a guarantee that the Democrat- Despite the seriousness of senior Republican.

Bobby Baker?" asked Repre-peachment of Mr. Nixon.

Republicans apparently took the S.O.S. Club. Only four of turn now."

provides no termination date for laned to open and found he had their cue, nowever, from Mr. Several Republicans warned nority would be able to "look scribed the House proceeding

either declines, the full commit- ic majority would not suppress the House action, there was a subpoena written by the no indication of influence having been exerted either by the "Suppose we wanted to call White House or by groups lob- stand for all time. We will act [Senator] Hubert Humphrey or bying on behalf of the im-

sentative David W. Dennis. Re- The President had breakfast publican of Indiana. Mr. Baker at the White House this mornwas convicted in 1967 of lar-ing with 37 Republican Senaceny, fraud and income tax tors and Representatives who Representative John J. Rhodes "Imagine!" he protested, his evasion after an inquiry into are members of two informal gone the stress of preserving of Arizona, the House Repub- voice and arms rising and fall- his activities as the secretary Capitol Hill groups, the Chow- their freedom and the Constider and Marching Society and tution that protects it. It is our

Mr. Nixon's House guests supported the effort to amend the resolution, and none of them opposed its final approval.

The House has taken formal impeachment action only a dozen times before. The only instance in which a President's conduct was investigated was in 1867, when the House adopted a similar resolution directing the Judiciary Committee to inquire into the possible impeachment of Andrew Johnson.

Equally 'Solemn'

The House rejected the committee's articles of impeachment in December, 1867, but voted two months later to impeach President Johnson after he dismissed Secretary of War Edward M. Stanton. The Senate subsequently acquitted Johnson.

Referring to the Johnson im-Rhodes, who said that the mi-peachment, Mr. Rhodes deoccasion."

What the House concludes in Mr. Nixon's case, said Representative Elizabeth Holtzman, Democrat of Brooklyn, "will expeditiously, but we will act soundly."

Mr. Rodino also referred to the need for sensitivity and caution.

"For almost 200 years," he said, "Americans have under-