Hickel Faults Nixon on Watergate NYTimes JUL 6 1973

By WALLACE TURNER Special to The New York Times

moral tone of the Administra- pose those limits while

Mr. Hickel said that by June, 1969, when he had been in Washington for less than six months, events in his dealings with the White House caused him to say to an assistant, "Hey, they're playing games. This isn't right."

His remark referred to un-to improve the Nixon adout the first Nixon Administration. President was constantly about being re-elected.

Disturbed by Expense Mr. Hickel said that he had beven bothered by report to improve the Nixon proper.

Analytically Brilliant'

He called Mr. Nixon "an introverted President" who is "analytically brilliant, nonemotional" and said that such a man "makes his decisions on input, and when that input is short-circuited you're going to short-circuited, you're going to have a situation like Water-gate."

have a situation like watergate."

Mr. Hickel said that sometimes it had seemed to him that President Nixon was Machiavellian in dealings with him. Soutces close to Mr. Hickel Hickelsaid that he had, in mind his confilicts with oil companies whose drilling practices it was his duty to regulate.

These sources said that Mr. Hickel had flet that Mr. Nixon was obiligated to oil interests for their heavy campaign contributions in 1968, but that Mr. Nixon felt also that it was necessary to limit their actions.

raised questions about the He allowed Mr. Hickel to imtelling

ANCHORAGE, July 5—In the opinion of Walter J. Hickel, President Nixon is responsible could have happened under Eifor the Watergate scandals whether or not he knew about the acts in advance.

Mr. Hickel, the former Alaska Governor who was the first Secretary of Interior for Mr. Nixon, said in an interview that the President had "created the atmosphere and the attitude for it to happen."

The President dismissed Mr. Hickel in November, 1970, after the Cabinet officer criticized the bombing of Cambodia and many control the control the control the control the control the control the secretary. Eventually, Mr. Hickel said not control the secretary. Eventually, Mr. Hickel said in not control the control that the control that control the control t

with the White House caused him to say to an assistant, "Hey, they're playing games. This isn't right."

His remark referred to undisclosed actions of H. R. Haldeman and John D. Ehrlichman, former Presidential aides, and Egil Krogh Jr., then an aide to Mr. Ehrlichman, Mr. Hickel said. By early 1970, he said, he began to criticize publicly the patterns he saw developing in the Presidential staff.

"I saw them circumvent what I call The System." Mr. Hickel said. "If think The System is great. Congress can call a Cabinet officer down there and literally obew him up."

This gives the President a source of information by which to judge the nation's attitudes. When Cabinet officers are supplanted by President's main information sources, trouble is bound to occur, Mr. Hickel contended.

Conflicts with the Nixon staff played a major part in his dismissal vifrom the Cabinet, Mr. Hickel said in the interview, condurated in his officer overlooking the racing tides of Cool inlet, and the mountain ranges of central Alaska. He said he was happy to be back in Alaska.

Analytically Brilliant?

Disturbed by Experies

Mr. Hickel said that he had beven bothered by reports of heavy spending of public funds to improve the Nixon properties at San Clemente, Calif., and Evernity spending of public funds to improve the Nixon properties at San Clemente, Calif., and Key Biscayne, Fla., fog reasons of security.

He said he might feel differently if he knew "all the national security reasons." He added, "But it doesn't appear to me that some of those things would be in the national security interest. I mean \$10,000 or so for rewiring at now leaded, "But it doesn't appear to me that some of those things would be in the national security interest. I mean \$10,000 or so for rewiring at now leaded, "But it doesn't appear to me that some of those things would be in the national security interest. I mean \$10,000 or so for rewiring at now leaded, "But it doesn't appear to me that some of those things would be in the national security interest. I m