Clash in Panel Called Tip Of an Iceberg of Politics

By DAVID E. ROSENBAUM

WASHINGTON, June 13—
The political infighting that exploded ir public today at the Senate Watergate hearings had been simmering below the surface for months.

It was inevitable, according to Senators and Watergate committee staff members, that the frustrations of some of the committee's members would be the committee's members would be to the committee's staff to go to the Republicans.

It was inevitable, according to Senators and Watergate committee's members would eventually be bared in public. They said that it was likely that open political clashes, like the one today between Senators Edward J. Gurney and Sam J. Ervin Jr., would become frequent features of the committee's hearings in weeks to come.

After all, one Senator noted in a recent interview, the committee's hearings in weeks to come.

After all, one Senator noted in a recent interview, the committee's members are concerned not only about thoir own ambitions but also about those of their parties.

Senator Gurney, a Florida Republican, is said to have been quietly seething for some time over what he believes to be the slow pace of the hearings, the lack of cooperation from the committee to provide him with an adequate staff.

Administration Supporter

Since he entered the Senate in 1969, Mr. Gurney has been a solid supporter of the proprograms and policies of the Nixon Administration. Last year, during the Judiciary Committee's investigation of the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation Senator Gurney acted as the chief defender of the White House.

Some of his colleagues expected him to play a similar role on the Watergate committee's chairman, asked a series of sharp questioner, Nonetheless, Senator Gurney, acted as the chief defender of the White House.

Some of his colleagues expected him to play a similar role on the Watergate committee are agressive questioner, Nonetheless, Senator Gurney squirmed visibly this afternoon as Senator Ervin, the committee's chairman, asked a series of sharp questions of Maufrice H. Stans, the finance director of the Nixon relection committee.

It was inconceivable, Senator Gurney sate with some of the principals in the Watergate case.

When a report of Mr. Weicker Interview with John W. Dean' 3d, the former White House counsel, was disclosed in the Watergate case.

director of the Nixon reelection committee.

It was inconceivable, Senator Ervin remarked time and
again, that a meticulous accountant like Mr. Stans would
allow records of Republican
contributors to be destroyed
and would allow nearly \$2billion in cash to be spent without any idea of what it was
being used for.

Openly Hostile

When a report of Mr. Weicker
with John W.
Dean 3d, the former White
House counsel, was disclosed in
The New York Times last weekend, Mr. Weicker said that he
was sure the doument had been
leaked by another committee
member who wanted to sabotage the investigation Mr.
Weicker was operating.

In early April Senator Weicker

out any idea of what it was being used for.

Openly Hostile

Senator Ervin's questions were openly hostile, and Senator Gurney felt constrained to object — the old position of Administration defender coming to the surface again, according to a committee Democrat.

"I' for one," Senator Gurney declared, just as the committee was about to take a break for a vote on the Senate floor, "have not appreciated the harassement of this witness by the chairman in the questioning that has just finished."

Senator Ervin, affecting the folksy manner that is his style in political debates, replied, "I am an old country lawyer and I don't know the finer ways to do it. I just have to do it my way."

The political squabbles began before the committee was tage the investigation Mr. Weicker was operating.

In early April, Senator Weicker issued a statement declaring that H. R. Haldeman, then the White House chief of staff, should accept all responsibility for improper Republican political activity and should resign.

The next day, without telling Mr. Weicker was operating.

In early April, Senator Weicker was operating.

In early April, Senator Weicker issued a statement declaring that H. R. Haldeman, then the White House chief of staff, should accept all responsibility for improper Republican political activity and should resign.

The next day, without telling Mr. Weicker was operating.

In early April, Senator Weicker is sued a statement declaring that H. R. Haldeman, then the White House chief of staff, should accept all responsibility for improper Republican political activity and should resign.

The ne

NYT