The Post, 3 Publications Act To Block Subpoenas by GOP

Washington Post Staff Writer

Lawyers for three newspapers and a national news magazine filed a joint motion here yesterday formally announcing their opposition to subpoenas filed by the Committee for the Re-election of the President calling on reporters from the four publications to give sworn testimony.

The four pulications—The Washington Post, The New York Times, the Evening Star-News and Time magazine-asked U.S. District Judg District Judge Charles R. Richey to grant a delay in the committee's schedule for taking depositions in order to allow them committee's to file motions to quash sub-poenas issued last week. Ri-chey scheduled a hearing on the motion for today.

The subpoenas were issued last week in connection with a civil suit growing out of the break-in and bugging of the

most profound First Amend. The brief says that the comment issues are raised" by the mittee has made "not the committee's subpoenas.

ries" in the possession of those subpoenaed.

Suppoenas were issued for The Post's publisher, Katharine Graham, managing editor Howard Simons, and reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein.

In addition, Evening Star-News reporters Joseph Volz, Patrick Collins, Patrick Collins, O'Leary and James Polk, New York Times reporter John York Times reporter John Crewdson, and Time corre-spondent Dean Fischer were

subpoenaed.
All four publications, in announcing their opposition to the subpoenas, said in their brief that the subpoenas "demand a mass of documents, which include reporters' notes break-in and bugging of the Democratic Party's Watergate headquarters.

In a brief accompanying their motion for a stay, the publications said that "the confidential basis and from confidential sources."

committee's subpoenas.

The subpoenas demand the production of "all manuscripts, notes, tape recordings of communications... drafts, copies and final drafts of stories" in the possession of lack of alternative sources for lack of alternative sources for such information."

Citing the ruling of the Supreme Court last June in the Caldwell case, in which the Court held that reporters had no absolute privilege protecting them from testifying before grand juries in criminal proceedings, the brief argues that courts have held since that ruling that the First Amendment bars subpoenas in civil suits.

Lawyers for the four publications met Saturday and yesterday and agreed to file their joint motion seeking a delay in the depositions, but said they would file separate motions to quash the subpoenas.