Anti-Democratic Spying Tied to High Republicans

WASHINGTON, Oct. 15-An elaborate campaign to disrupt Democratic campaigns and spy on candidates has been linked to a leading White House official, to other Administration figures and to President Nixon's campaign organization.

Time magazine, citing Justice Department files, reported that in September, 1971, Dwight L. Chapin, a deputy assistant to the President, hired Donald H. Segretti, a young lawyer who has previously been identified a Republican sabotage

The magazine added that Strachan, Gordon another White House aide, had a hand in hiring Mr. Segretti and that the agent was paid through Herbert W. Kalmbach, Mr. Nixon's personal attorney.

The Washington Post also linked Mr. Chapin and Mr. Segretti, quoting one of the young lawyer's friends as say-ing that ir. Segretti once told him that Mr. Chapin was "a person I reported to in Washington."

Meanwhile, a Justice Department source reported today that the over-all espionage campaign against Democrats was under the direct control of a "high official" in the Committee for the Re-election of the President. The official was not named.

Secret Cash Supply

The Government informant also said the espionage effort was financed from a secret supply of cash kept in a safe in the offices of Maurice H. Stans, the former Secretary of Commerce who is now Mr. Nixon's chief fund-raiser.

Federal investigators they were unable to find any evidence, in conneciton with either the June 17 raid on the offices of the Democratic Na-tional Committee or the broader sabotage effort, that Mr. Stans knew how the cash was being spent.

Those who did know of the disruption campaign referred to it as "rat—," an obscene phrase alluding to the Democratic candidate victimized by the program. The phrase is understood to be one commonly used on California campuses when some men linked to the Continued on Page 37, Column 1

other things, that one Demo-cratic candidate was unfairly or crudely working against an-

Specific and general denials ame from the White House. specific and general denials came from the White House. Mr. Chapin, through a spokesman, fold The Post that he had known Mr. Segretti "since college days" but characterized the newspaper's report as "hearsay" and "fundamentally inaccurate."

Mr. Chapin, through a spokesman, fold The Post that he had known Mr. Segretti "since college days" but characterized the newspaper's report as "hearsay" and "fundamentally inaccurate."

John D. Ehrlichman, assistant to the President for domestic affairs, said on the American Broadcasting company's "Issues and Answers" program this afternoon that The Post report linking Mr. Segretti and Mr. Chapin was "hearsay about four times removed."

Mr. Ehrlichman said he had no knowledge of any of the events described in The Post, asserting that there had been "not any proof, but a lot of charges." The White House of-

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 ficial said he had not yet read the account in Time magazine.

Case, including Mr. Chapin and Mr. Segretti, were in college there.

The Justice Department source and other informants said that large sums of campaign money were spent in an effort to make it appear, among other things that one Demonth of the spent of the spent

home during at least one of his trips to Washington.

his Washington "contacts" in the espionage program.

Mr. Young said in the interview that Mr. Chapin and Mr. Segretti were college associates and that Mr. Segretti had said that he visited in Mr. Chapin's White House aide who was borne during at least one of his indicated in the Watergate break-in.