Ellsberg Judge Denies a Mistrial Motion

By MARTIN ARNOLD Special to The New York Times

of the case or for a mistrial.

Both Daniel Ellsberg and An- ing the Vietnam war. thony J. Russo Jr. had moved But much of the Governfor a dismissal on the ground ment's own analyses concluded Government officials, such as that the Government had, suppressed evidence that would tend to prove their innocence.

The grounds for the dismissal on the ground that disclosure of large portions contempt, cannot redress the prejudice to the defendants" the national defense.

The grounds for the dismissal material. Mr. Ruso alone, as a backup and mistrial motions involved material. motion, had asked for a mis-suppression of that evidence are accused of eight counts of trial on the same ground.

United States District Court June 23, Judge Byrne, at the espionage against them, the behest of the defense, ordered Government must first prove that their actions damaged the misconduct in this case.

of the jury, which was sent rials that could be considered At the very least, they will be home earlier this week until exculpatory.

At the very least, they will be able to use the exculpatory ma-Monday pending resolution of the issue of whether exculpatory evidence existed in this that would tend to prove the innocence of the defendants.

Analyses at Issue

1963.

volved is the Government's ments. analyses of the effect that disclosure of the Pentagon papers it "cannot redress" the fact that had on this country's national it did not have the exculpatory defense. Government witnesses material available in time for

have been testifying that the its opening staements to the alleged illegal actions of the jury. LOS ANGELES, Feb. 2—The defendants—and the result of the defendants could not be judge in the Pentagon papers those actions, the ultimate distried again. If a mistrial is trial denied late this afternoon closure of the papers—had granted to Mr. Russo as an defense motions for dismissal damaged the national defense alternative, he could be tried by possibly helping Hanoi dur- again by another jury.

and the prosecutor's alleged espionage, six counts of theft

Jr., the defense also contended that the Government turn over that their actions damaged the that the chief prosecutor, David to him in private all analyses national defense. Because of R. Nissen, had been guilty of of the papers and all other the exculpatory material, the isconduct in this case.

The motions will be argued it had relating to the Pentagon motions, could dismiss some before the judge out of hearing papers, particularly those mate-of the charges against them.

Failures Charged

The defense asked for the case—that is, evidence in the possession of the Government that the defendants' right to a fair trial had been "substantially prejudiced" by the Governmetn's failure to make "timely disclosure" of exclupatory ma-On Tuesday, Judge Byrne terial; that the Government had ruled that such evidence did not only failed to make it availexist and he ordered it turned able before the trial comover to the defense as required menced, but had also failed to by a Supreme Court ruling in present such evidence to the grand jury that investigated the The exculpatory evidence incase and returned the indict-

The defense also argued that

In their dismissal motions, Dr. Ellsberg and Mr. Russo contended that "sanctions against

Dr. Ellsberg and Mr. Russo In making the motions before misconduct in the suppression. espionage, six counts of thett able to use the exculpatory material in court before the jury to argue their case.