## Air Force Brings in Documents To Rebut Discharged Analyst

**NYTimes** 

APR 7 1973

WASHINGTON, April 6—The Air Force, relying on documents instead of witnesses attempted to introduce 19 packets of letters, memos and transscripts today to rebut testimony of A. Ernest Fitzgerald at a Civil Service Commission hearing.

Mr. Fitzgerald, who contends he was discharged from the Air Force for telling Congress of huge cost overruns on the C-5A military transport plane project, was a management analyst earning \$32,000 a year before he was let go in an "economy" move.

In a casethat has taken about

Special to The New York Times

A third indicated Mr. Fitzgerald was not invited to the several was not invi Special to The New York Times

In a casethat has taken about 25 hearing days in three years, Mr. Fitzgerald called 13 witnesses in attempts to show the motivation behind his dismissal.

Mr. Fitzgerald.

"Now he's trying to do it indirectly by putting these documents into evidence," he added.

Mr. Staiman overruled the

motivation behind his dismissal.

Listed Company's Address

Lieut. Col. C. Claude Teagarden, representing the Air Force, offered the documents. He said the majority showed that Mr. Fitzgerald did not lose his functions as a management nalyst after he testified before Tongress. Most dealt with meetings he was assigned to attend. Others attempted to discredit him as a witness.

Herman D. Staiman, chief of the appeals examining office of the appeals examining office of the Civil Service Commission, accepted 16 documents accepted parts of another, made no imediate ruling on one and rejected another.

One, Colonel Teagarder soid

no imediate ruling on one and rejected another.
One, Colonel Teagarden said, showed Mr. Fitzgerald's notes in the margin of a document that the analyst asserted he had not seen before.
Another listed Mr. Fitzgerald's home address as the address of a company with which