AGNEW TO PURSUE A 'FEARLESS' ROL

Will Not Eliminate Emotion From Appeals—Supports 'Rational' Dissenters JUN 1 6 1970

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON

Special to The New York Times

DETROIT, June 15-Vice President Agnew said here tonight that he would continue to speak out "forcefully, factually and fearlessly" and would not eliminate emotion from his public appeals.

"No argument is fair that appeals exclusively to emotion," he said, but he added that "no argument is realistic that rules out all emotion."

However, in his speech prepared for delivery at a Republican fund-raising banquet here and in an earlier address today Washington, Mr. Agnew adopted a tone that appeared to be notably less caustic than that of most of his speeches during the last seven months.

The Vice President explained in some detail tonight his philosophy on dissent, forming in the process a response to his critics. He said that dissent should be rational rather than illogical, that it should focus on an issue instead of consisting wholly of an emotional out-burst, and that it should seek to persuade rather than demand.

Mr. Agnew rejected demands from some of his critics that he de-escalate his public rhet-"On the contrary," oric. said, "we have to elevate the rhetoric," which he defined as "use of public discourse to persuade."

"Rational dissenters," Mr.

Continued on Page 26, Column 3

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6

Agnew continued, "have never been more needed than they are today. They are with us, but their ideas are too often ignored—not by the majority, but by the emotionaries, a relatively small group of anti-intellectuals that has snatched the standard of dissent from their hands."

In one sense, Mr. Agnew's remarks tonight were a concession to what he called "some of the rational dissenters I have been meeting with recently."

When Il professors from the University of Minnesota met, with Vice President on June 4, they warned him that his public statements were driving moderate students into the arms of radicals and they urged him to explain at length his views on reasonable dissent.

Mr. Agnew said that history was full of partisan personal attacks and "studied insults," but he added that "the times here that he had taken the professors' suggestion to heart.

In his speech, the Vice President was an element of freedom. "We cannot abandon the public form to the antistic was not have the motionaries of the extremes."

There was little in tonight's speech of the slashing rhetoric that Mr. Agnew has used since the rational dissenters I have a menting with recently."

When Il professors from the full this view on reasonable dissent.

Mr. Agnew said that history was full of partisan personal attacks and "studied insults," but he added that "the times have changed and the climate is wrong for slam-bang victure, the retoric has altered the said that dissent was an element of freedom. "We cannot reasonable dissent.

However, he continued, "use a mitty is not an end in itself, dissent is not an end in itself. Here is where I part is performed.

Mr. Agnew used him to the action of the powers with the consent of the powers with the consent of the governed," he said.

However, he continued, "use a mitting the professor's suggestion to heart. In its improper definitio

While conceding that emo-here to join in a "progressive tionalism was not limited to partisanship" that would be Agnew continued, "have never those who oppose the Nixon based upon the rules of dissent been more needed than they are Administration, Mr. Agnew said, laid down "by my ancestor,"

lence and within the constitutional law, every man has the right to disagree with, and to break, every one of these rules."

Such principles do not mean, the Vice President said, that "affirmers or dissenters have to color their speeches gray." In his Washington speech today to the Interestical of the Interestical Such Programmers of the Interestical Such

day, to the International Feder-ation of Newspaper Publishers, Mr. Agnew criticized anew the news media but omitted personal attacks like those he had

previously made.

He said that telling both sides of a story, particularly when it concerned Vietnam, was "something that has gone out of vogue in some of the major news organizations in America's "Tradical and the sound of the major news organizations in America". news organizations in America." He did not name the organizations.

Mr. Agnew said that former President Johnson had "warned me against 'taking on' the press' after the 1968 election, telling Mr. Agnew to remember that "they come out every day; you don't."

But the Vice President said

But the Vice President said he had decided not to go along with his predecessors and colleagues in finding it "more comfortable to rock with the criticism tha nto return it."

He warned that the "price for not presenting both sides of a story is loss of credibility as a public institution." a heavy price, said Mr. Agnew, "to pay for a fleeting exercise in power or influence."