

New Orleans States-Item

gar

1 March 1969

VOL. 92—NO. 227

The Associated Press, North American News-
Group, Atlanta, TEA Service and AP Wirephoto

SATURDAY, MARCH 1, 1969

Second-Class Postage Paid
at New Orleans, La.

PRICE 10c

FINAL EDITION

NOT GUILTY!

RALPH D'INSON
NEW ORLEANS STATES-ITEM





NOT
GUILTY

Verdict Is Unanimous

By JACK WARDLAW

"We find the defendant not guilty . . ." intoned court clerk George Sullivan early today, ending a two-year nightmare for Clay L. Shaw.

A wild cheer broke out in the courtroom as the unanimous jury verdict freed Shaw two years to the day after his arrest on charges of conspiring to kill President John F. Kennedy.

THE VERDICT WAS RETURNED at 1:02 a. m. after the

jury deliberated for 53 minutes. Judge Edward A. Haggerty gave each juror a certificate exempting him from jury service for life, and a head start on getting out of the jam-packed courtroom.

Then came a thundering herd of newsmen, racing for telephones to tell the world the outcome of the historic trial.

Left in doubt was the future of Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison's probe of the Kennedy assassination, of which the Shaw trial was the showpiece.

★ Garrison Should Resign ★

(An Editorial)

District Attorney Jim Garrison should resign. He has shown himself unfit to hold the office of district attorney or any other office.

Mr. Garrison has abused the vast powers of his office. He has perverted the law rather than prosecuted it. His persecution of Clay L. Shaw was a perversion of the legal process such as has not been often seen.

Mr. Garrison's conspiracy case was built upon the quicksands of unreliability and in the end it did not stand up. A 12-man jury found unanimously that Mr. Shaw is innocent.

Clay L. Shaw has been vindicated, but the damage to his reputation caused by Mr. Garrison's witch hunt may never be repaired. It is all too shameful.

This travesty of justice is a reproach to the conscience of all good men and must not go unanswered.

Mr. Garrison himself should now be brought to the bar to answer for his conduct.

Mr. Garrison himself should be the object of our scrutiny. His handling of the Shaw case, we believe, merits the closest examination by the state and local bar associations.

This newspaper has been constrained from comment on the case by the guidelines set out by Judge Haggerty to insure a fair trial, guidelines which Mr. Garrison himself has consistently ignored. We have had to bite our tongue in the face of the injustice that unfolded before us.

But that is the case no more. The jury has spoken. Clay L. Shaw is innocent. And Mr. Garrison stands revealed for what he is: A man without principle who would pervert the legal process to his own ends.

Garrison, in closing remarks, told the jury: "Finally, this case is before a court of law. Finally, justice can be done."

THE 12-MAN JURY HAD its own ideas about justice, however.

"Garrison has a right to his opinion about the government and the Warren Commission," said juror David I. Powe shortly after court adjourned. "But I just don't feel his opinion is enough to convict a man."

Shaw, 6-foot-4-inch retired businessman, stood in a protective circle of sheriff's deputies as the verdict was read. He was mobbed by his friends afterwards.

"Do you wish the jury polled?" asked Judge Haggerty, looking at the state table, from which Garrison had departed an hour earlier.

CHIEF PROSECUTOR JAMES L. Alcock, slumped low in his chair, shook his head wearily from side to side. But Powe, a juror who grew a goatee while sequestered during the trial, said the verdict was unanimous and was reached on the first ballot.

The trial began Jan. 21, and consumed 34 full days. Yesterday was the longest, beginning at 9 a. m. and ending after 1 a. m. today.

The jurors looked as happy as Shaw at being freed. The ex-defendant shook hands with each of them as they filed out.

Shaw was hustled out the back door of the courtroom and left in a car, with a line of deputies pushing newsmen and spectators away from the garage exit.

GARRISON HAD LEFT LONG before the verdict. He told a newsmen:

"It certainly has been an interesting case, hasn't it? No matter how this thing ends, I will not hold a news conference. I'm tired of being called flamboyant. Everybody knows I am not flamboyant."

There was no comment from anyone on the state side. Shaw and his attorneys scheduled a news conference for this afternoon.

Garrison had charged Shaw with conspiring with Lee Harvey Oswald and David W. Ferrie to kill Kennedy, shot to death in Dallas Nov. 22, 1963. The Warren Commission named Oswald as the lone assassin.

Much of the prosecution's case concentrated on attacking the commission's conclusion Oswald acted alone in killing Kennedy.

"We were trying Shaw, not the Warren Commission," said juror Powe. "But I felt two or three times that I didn't know who we were trying—the FBI, the federal government, the Secret service. . . ."

THE JURORS WERE OBVIOUSLY ANXIOUS to finish

(Turn to Page 12, Column 1)



—Associated Press photo.
CHIEF DEFENSE attorney F. IRWIN DYMOND, right, raises his arm in a victory gesture early Saturday after Clay Shaw is found not guilty of conspiring to kill President Kennedy. Defense attorneys **EDWARD WEGMANN**, left, and **SALVATORE PANZECA** lend support.

4
logic involving the film of the assassination taken by Dallas dress manufacturer Abraham Zapruder and testimony by various witnesses.

The time needed to work Oswald's rifle, Oser said, makes it "mathematically and scientifically impossible" for one gun to have fired two shots from the sixth-floor depository window.

Other testimony, Oser argued, showed it is impossible for one bullet to have passed through Kennedy's torso and wounded Connally. And the angle of the shot that wounded Kennedy without hitting any bones in his body indicates it could not have come from the window from which Oswald fired, he said.

"Therefore," Oser said, "there were two gunmen, two guns, in two places."

HE THEN TURNED TO THE FATAL shot which hit Kennedy in the head. Again citing the Zapruder film and various witnesses, he said the state proved the shot came from the front.

"Therefore," said Oser, "there were three gunmen, three guns, in three places," setting up a "triangulation of crossfire."

"And where have we heard the word triangulation?" he asked rhetorically. "It seems strange that a triangulation of fire was talked about and discussed in the conspiracy, and agreement was hatched in the presence of the defendant."

In his summation, Dymond said the state's case was built on "innuendoes, veiled accusations and hints of guilt and wrongdoing."

HE SAID "THE WARREN COMMISSION is not on trial. The case is against Clay L. Shaw."

Dymond then took what he called the seven elements of the state's case and attacked them one by one. He directed particular fire at Russo, who he said the defense "proved a liar."

"This defendant, Clay L. Shaw, has been brought here for no other reason than to create a forum for an attack on the Warren Commission," Dymond said. "He is a patsy picked for that purpose."

He went through the testimony of various defense witnesses and showed how their stories cast doubt on previous testimony by state witnesses. "It just doesn't add up," he said.

NOTHING WAS PROVEN BY THE state, he said, since the entire case rested on the testimony of Russo. He said the state put up a parade of unfit witnesses, including Bundy and Spiesel.

Dymond described Spiesel as "the most obvious paranoid case I've ever seen in my life . . . What kind of a good-faith prosecution, what kind of a legitimate prosecution, would get up here and try to con you gentlemen into accepting the testimony of a man like that?"

In rebuttal, Oser said Dymond offered "not one word" to dispute his version of events in Dealey Plaza.

ALCOCK GAVE A SHARP REBUTTAL in strident tones, raising his voice to the jury. He heaped scorn on Dymond's criticism of Spiesel, saying he "was never convicted of perjury" as was a state witness, Dean A. Andrews Jr.

He leveled a personal attack at Shaw, saying it was curious he produced no character witnesses and the two witnesses who knew him best, Lloyd J. Cobb and Mrs. Goldie Moore, "were careful to say they did not associate with the defendant after working hours."

"You have the picture of a man who lived a Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde existence—a respected businessman by day, by night consorting with people like Ferrie and Oswald."

GARRISON, WHO IS UP FOR REELECTION this fall, delivered his summation in subdued tones, as compared to the evangelist-style oratory of Oser and Alcock.


The DA mentioned Shaw only to say that while the jurors might feel sorry for him, "you are also not free to forget the victim."

He then launched into an attack on the Warren Commission in particular and the federal government in general. He termed the Warren Report, "the greatest fraud in the history of our country."

The commission, he said, was a group of "men of high position and prestige sitting on a board and telling you what happened but withholding the evidence . . ."

"**YOU CAN CAUSE JUSTICE TO HAPPEN** in this case for the first time in five years," he concluded, "and if you do that, nothing you have ever done will have been more important."

As things turned out, the defendant couldn't have agreed more.



—States-Item photo.
NOT TALKING! Assistant district attorney **JAMES L. ALCOCK**, who handled the major part of the prosecution of Clay L. Shaw, leaves the courtroom with no comment after the jury voted against him and in favor of Shaw.