LOUISIANA TRIAL OF SHAW ASSURED

High Court Refuses to Block Action in Kennedy's Death

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Dec. 9—The Supreme Court refused today to bar Jim Garrison, New Orleans District Attorney, from prosecuting Clay L. Shaw on charges of conspiring to assassinate President Kennedy.

In an unsigned order the Cort affirmed a decision by a three-judge Federal District Court that declined to block Mr. Garrison and his assistants from proceeding with the trial.

Mr. Garrison and his assistants from proceeding with the trial. Today's action thus removes the legal barrier that had delayed for six months the trial in which Mr. Garrison has vowed to prove that the Warren report was wrong in concluding that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone in killing President Kennedy in Dallas on Nov. 22, 1963.

Chief Justice Earl Warren, chairman of the Presidential commission that reached that conclusion, did not participate in today's decision.

Mr. Shaw, a 55-year-old retired businessman, was arrested on March 1, 1967, on charges of having conspired with David W. Ferrie, Oswald and others to murder President Kennedy. A week before the arrest, Ferrie died of what the city coroner said were natural causes. Mr. Garrison called the death a suicide. death a suicide.

Named by a Witness

At at pretrial hearing a witness, Perry R. Russo, said he had heard Mr. Shaw, using the name Clem Bertrand, discuss the assassination of President Kennedy with Ferrie and a Leon Oswald, whom he identi-fied as Lee Harvey Oswald. This discussion was said to have occurred at Ferrie's apartment about two months before

ment about two months before the assassination.

On May 27, 1968, two weeks before the trial was scheduled to begin, Mr. Shaw filed suit in Federal District Court in New Orleans. He accused Mr. Garrison of prosecuting him merely to obtain a judicial forum "to discredit the Warren report and its findings."

A three-judge Federal Dis-

report and its findings."

A three-judge Federal District Court ruled on Aug. 13 that if Mr. Shaw's Federal constitutional rights were violated at his trial, he could obtain relief by appealing the conviction. It refused to bar the trial. In his appeal to the Supreme Court, Mr. Shaw accused Mr. Garrison and his assistants of "conducting a reign of terror

"conducting a reign of terror by the misuse and abuse of the public offices which they hold."

The appeal also called upon the Supreme Court to order the Attorney General to intervene in any case in which the War-ren report is being impugned by a rubble processity. by a public prosecutor.

January Trial Planned

NEW ORLEANS, Dec. 9 — James L. Alcock, Assistant District Attorney said today that he thought the trial of Mr. Shaw would last at least six weeks and would probably begin in January.

weeks and would probably begin in January.

Mr. Alcock, commenting for Mr. Garrison, who referred all questions to his assistant, said:

"We are happy and gratified at the ruling of the United States Supreme Court, but it was not really a surprise since the law was on our side all along. Three Federal judges found probable cause and so did the grand jury, so we are not surprised by today's ruling.

A spokesman for the Criminal Court said that selection of the jury could start on Jan. 6, the first Monday of the month. He also said it was up to the District Attorney's office to set the date for trial. Mr. Shaw's attorneys, the sokesman went on could still ask for a continuance to delay

spokesman went on could still ask for a continuance to delay the case's start start in January if they could convince the court they had a legal reason for the delay.

Mr. Alcock said he did not know whether Mr. Shaw's attorneys would ask for a rehearing of the Supreme Court ruling. Edward Wegmann, one of Mr. Shaw's attorneys, said he would not comment on the ruling until he had had a chance to study it.

Mr. Alcock also declined a comment when asked how many witnesses he would call.

Supreme Court's Actions

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Dec. 9-The Supreme Court took the following actions today:

CIVIL RIGHTS

Agreed to rule on the duty of an outdoor recreation area organized as a club to comply with the public accommodations section of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and admit Negroes to "membership" (No. 488, Daniel v. Paul).

Affirmed a lower court ruling that declared unconstitutional a South Carolina law of 1963 that provided students financial aid for tuition to attend private, segregated schools (No. 553, South Caro-lina State Board of Educa-tion v. Brown; No. 563, Cribb v. Brown).

CRIMINAL LAW

Dismissed as improvidently granted the appeal of a convicted robber who had asked the Justices to extend to the the Justices to extend to the states the controversial Mallory v. United States ruling of 1957, which excludes from evidence confessions taken by Federal officers during an unreasonable delay between arrest and arraignment of a suspect (No. 131, Palmieri v. Florida).

Affirmed a ruling by a

Affirmed a ruling by a ree-judge Federal court Affirmed a ruling by a three-judge Federal court that said Louisiana-may proceed to try Clay L. Shaw of New Orleans, retired businessman, for conspiracy to assassinate President Kennedy (No. 579, Shaw v. Garrison)

LABOR

Agreed to review court holding OD

right of an inmate of a Federal prison to sue prison offi-cials for damages in state court if he could show he had been tortured by prison doctors who were experimenting outside the scope of their official duties (No. 228, Willingham v. Morgan).

SELECTIVE SERVICE

SELECTIVE SERVICE
Agreed to review a lower court's decision that it could not consider a Negro draftee's argument that no Negroes had served on his draft board, because the registrant failed to appeal his reclassification within the 10-day period required by Selective Service regulations (No. 442, misc., Duvernay v. United States).

Dismissed as improvidently granted the appeal of a convicted draft evader who had not kept his draft board informed of his residence but

not kept his draft board informed of his residence but had sent the board postcards as he drifted around the United States and Mexico, teling them that he would notify them when he got settled (No. 74, Stiles v. United States) States).

SPEECH

Agreed to consider the appeal by 10 Negro militant that the prosecution them for violating York criminal of 1902

JESDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1968

Actions in Supreme Court

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON. Dec. 9—In the Supreme Court of the United States the following proceedings were theld today:

OPINIONS

131—Carmine Vincent Palmieri, petr., v. Florida. On writ of cert. to the Supreme Court of Florida. Writ of cert. dismissed as improvidently granted. Opinion per curiam announced by Chief Justice Warren.

74—Philip Jerome Stiles, petr., v. United States. On writ of cert. to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, writ of cert. dismissed as improvidently granted. Opinion per curiam announced by Chief Justice Warren,

553—South Carolina State Board of Education et al. v. Brown et al.; and 563—Cribb et al. v. Brown et al.; and 563—Crib et al.; and

577—Blimco v. Commissioner of Internal