Aim at November Date

Shaw Give

Criminal District Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr. today gave the district attorney's office until Tuesday to file answers to a set of motions filed yesterday by attorneys

for Clay L. Shaw.

This ended any possibility that Shaw might go to trial in October on charges of conspiracy in the slaying of President John F. Kennedy. The judge gave indications he is now aiming at a November date.

The judge indicated this by telling assistant DA James L. Alcock in court this morning that he hopes to use the October jury venire in a hearing on the defense request for a change of venue.

EARLIER, JUDGE HAGGERTY had hoped to use the September panel, which went out of office today, so that the trial could be held in October.

Alcock appeared before the judge and was asked when the DA's office could file its answers to the defense motions.

"We will have the answers ready by next Tuesday," said Alcock. "Very well," said Judge Haggerty, "I'll

give you till next Tuesday."

The judge then said he hoped to use the October jury panel for the hearing. He asked Alcock if he was aware the defense had asked for a six-month delay in the case.

Alcock said he was.
"It's already been six months since the defendant was indicted. Since then they have filed many pleadings. I can't let defense counsel come in each week with new motions. We have to stop somewhere," Judge Haggerty said.

Alcock said he would come in with his

(Turn to Page 24, Column 1)

Continued from Front Page

answers at 10 a. m. Tuesday. "I would like at that time, if the situation allows, to set a date for the hearing on the pleadings and I will listen to any recommendations you may have on a date," the judge said.

In a hectic court day yesterday, the judge's hopes for an early trial were dashed when defense attorneys came in too late with their motions for District Attorney Jim Garrison's office to answer them and set up a hearing for today.

The defense motions asked:

A six-month delay in the trial, which would push it into next spring.

-Alternatively, if the delay is not granted, a change of venue, meaning Shaw would be tried elsewhere in Loui-siana, outside the New Orleans area.

-Another application for particulars in the state's case

against Shaw.

THE DEFENSE claims it is impossible for Shaw to receive a fair trial now because of ac-

a fair trial now because of actions by Garrison.

The DA, said the defense, "destroyed or seriously prejudiced the right" of Shaw to a fair trial with a "deliberate and calculated publicity barrage" since Shaw was arrested. Moreh ed March 1.

The application for particu-lars noted that under the criminal conspiracy statute, two ba-sic elements of proof are "the

if they are supposed to be an said the judge.
"agreement or combination" or an "act in furtherance."
"This means this case will be thrown back a whole month due

Judge Haggerty was angry to Mr. Dymond's tardiness," that defense attorney F. Irvin Judge Haggerty said. to use to determine if a change hearing unnecessary.

agreement or combination of of venue is needed.

two or more persons" and "an act in furtherance" of the crime.

THE APPLICATION cited sev-brane at a legations and asked use the same jury for the trial," if they are supposed to be an early the judge.

Dymond did not file the motions until late yesterday, because to-day is the last day for the jury month delay, or even a change panel which the judge planned of venue, which would make the