## Finance Committee Fined \$8,000

By Timothy Robinson Washington Post Staff Writer

Re-Elect the President pleaded mum of \$1,000 on each count. no contest yesterday in U.S. No individuals were charged District Court to eight viola- one-year jail term was not aptions of the elections financing plicable. law and was fined \$8,000.

of financial reports first be and cited cash financial paycame an offense under the ments through the committee's

day, the finance committee Liddy. avoided having to account for how it spent the unreported evidence presented by the Justice Department.

"I've never seen the difference between a nolo contendere (no contest) plea and a guilty plea," said U.S. District Judge George L. Hart Jr., in

The Finance Committee to fining the committee the maxiin the complaint, so a possible

It was the first time that a to be brought under the Fed-The charges were the first campaign committee had been found guilty since nonfiling which took effect April 7, 1972, Corrupt Practices Act of 1925. treasurer, Hugh W. Sloan Jr., By entering a plea yester- to its legal adviser, G. Gordon

Liddy is a defendant in the Watergate trial. Testimony money or to respond to any has indicated that he received more than \$232,000 from the committee for various assign-

> The complaint charged specifically that Sloan gave \$12,-

> > See FUNDS, A7, Col. 5

## Finance Committee Is Fined

FUNDS, From A1

000 to Liddy on two different occasions in May and June 1972, without obtaining receipts or keeping required records on the purposes for which the money was spent.

Also listed in the complaint is \$5,300 given to Liddy after May 10 by Herbert L. Porter, scheduling director of the committee. Porter has testified in the Watergate trial that he gave Liddy a total of

Another account also accuses Liddy with failing to report spending an additional \$2,000.

When the Justice Department filed the criminal complaints two weeks ago, a finance committee spokesman said that the complaints "allegedly refers to technical and unintentional failures to comply with certain sections of a complex new law. It is the policy of this committee to fully comply with all election laws. We have always sought to do so."