## Mitchell Seeks Reversal Based on Jury Selection

By Wesley G. Pippert United Press International

Former Attorney General ror," Mitchell said. John N. Mitchell charged yesterday that the jurors who veniremen [prospective jurors] convicted him in the Watergate cover-up trial were drawn "from a poisoned well" be the fact that while the process it said. cause of their preconceived of eliminating hardship cases opinions.

Mitchell's lawyers filed a 151-page brief, and former Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian's lawyers filed a 129-page brief in the U.S. Court of Appeals in efforts to overturn the two men's conspiracy convictions in the Watergate cover-up.

Mitchell's brief questioned the objectivity of a number of he expressed usually jurors and faulted trial judge pended on the person he was John J. Sirica's questioning of them before they were empaneled to sit on the jury.

Former White House aides H.R. Haldeman and John D. Ehrlichman were expected to convicted Jan. 1.

"The record in this case is

riddled with highly prejudicial Sirica failed to explore the constitutional and other er-inconsistency in the answers

"Our conclusion that the were drawn from a poisoned the pardon, he denied virtuwell is further buttressed by ski expressing her adulation for him," the brief said.

John A. Hoffar admitted dur-Sirica that he "probably" had thing that is acceptable. previously expressed an opinion about the guilt of the defendants and that the opinion thought she could render an talking with.

"He is not one of these socalled intellectuals, but I think he is a man that has grounds his "right of silence" good common sense," the brief quoted Sirica as saying of Hoffile briefs later. The four were far during the questioning of the prospective jurors.

Until now, the record of the questioning of prospective jurors has been secret. According to Mitchell's brief, 73 prospective jurors were questioned and 38 were inclined to Mitchell by permitting numerbelieve in guilt, 5 were inclined to favor the defense, and 30 had no opinion.

Mitchell said juror Ruth Mardian's lawyers based Gould conceded under questheir appeal on grounds the tioning that she had formed conspiracy involving Mardian I an opinion about the guilt of was not proved; that "highly the defendants when the text prejudicial and uncollaboraof the White House tapes was tors" were admitted against released, and thought it unfair him; and that he was tainted r to prosecute them in view of by "the overwhelming mass of i the pardon of former Presi- evidence" against the other 7 dent Nixon.

of juror Roy Carter, who said during questioning that while he believed it unfair to prosecute the defendants in light of ally all knowledge of the case,

Mitchell said juror Marjorie was continuing, one prospec Milbourn acknowledged she tive juror sent a note to Spe- had made financial contribucial Prosecutor [Leon] Jawor-tions during the 1972 campaign and said although she did not know whether the de-Mitchell said jury foreman fendants were guilty in a legal sense, "in moral terms, it ing the closed questioning by might not have been every-

Mitchell's brief also quoted Mrs. Milbourn as saying she de-unbiased verdict but could not "guarantee it."

Mitchell also sought a reversal of the conviction on was violated by the publicity generated by the Senate Watergate committee and the House Judiciary Committee's impeachment inquiry; that Sirica erred in refusing to remove himself from the case; and "irreparably damaging error" was committed against ous statements of opinion in the White House tapes to be admitted as evidence.

Mardian's lawyers based f defendants.