e-ot-payment ning at m rlichman, Kissinger Linked to Profile 1-6-14

By Timothy S. Robinson Washington Post Staff Writer

during the Ellsberg break-in trial yesterday that he was in- first defense witnesses called doubts as to the credibility of formed in August, 1971, that by attorneys for Ehrlichman, Young, a key prosecution wit-John D. Ehrlichman and Dr. one of four men on trial in ness who was first to testify Henry A. Kissinger, then Pres- federal court here on charges that Kissinger was involved. ident Nixon's top domestic of conspiring to commit and and foreign affairs advisers, cover up the break-in of Ells- yesterday to block the subhad ordered a psychological berg's psychiatrist's office. poena for Kissinger, saying he profile prepared of Pentagon The purpose of the break-in will reserve that decision until Papers defendant Daniel Ells- was to gain information for he sees whether Kissinger is herg.

The psychiatrist, Dr. Ber- ous testimony. nard Malloy, said that former

purposes of the profile would witness. They have argued psychiatrist brought Kissing-A CIA psychiatrist testified charges against Ellsberg.

the profile, according to previ-

hard Malloy, said that former br. Malloy was called by de singer block and a possible wit dent Nixon written questions readiness" as a possible wit drawn up by Ehrlichman's de-told him of the directive on attempt to justify their sub-Aug. 12, 1973, and that Young poena for Kissinger, now Sec- made, Judge Gesell said. also told him that one of the retary of State, as a defense | The testimony of the CIA | See EHRLICHMAN, A4, Col. 2

ing such orders. The defense Dr. Malloy was one of the hopes such a denial will raise

an essential witness in the defense case as it develops. Kis-Dr. Malloy was called by de- singer should "hold himself in dent Nixon written questions

be to use the press to try the that Kissinger will deny giv- er's name prominently into the trial for the second time this week. On Wednesday, former White House special counsel Charles W. Colson testified that President Nixon Judge Gesell refused again him to disseminate derogatory information on Ellsberg, for which Colson has pleaded guilty to obstructing justice in the Pentagon Papers trial.

Judge Gesell also refused

EHRLICHMAN, From A1

point, however, the judge volunteered his opinion that any orders from the President blocking a grand jury investigation into the Ellsberg breakin were "illegal orders."

The defense had said it intends to call Assistant Attorney General Henry Peterson as a witness to bolster their claims that any concealment. of the break-in could be attributed to presidential orders.

Defense attorneys, who say Ehrlichman's attempts to hide the break-in after it occurred were at the President's specific direction and not because of a guilty conscience, had agreed to questioning the President about those directions by written questions. However, Judge Gesell said those questions presented to him yesterday were too broad, and he told the attorneys' to try again if they wanted to continue to press the issue.

The defense said Petersen would testify in detail about a previously-disclosed conversation with the President, in which the President told Petersen the break-in was related to national security matters and should not be investigated.

"If those orders were given, those were illegal orders and I his former executive assistant will so instruct the jury," Judge Gesell said in response. "There is no way a grand jury inquiry can be limited by the President of the United States."

The prosecution rested its case against Ehrlichman and and assistant, Todd Hullin, the three others yesterday morning, and Ehrlichman's defense began yesterday afternoon.

Judge Gesell denied defense attorneys' motions for di-lichman's appointment records rected verdicts of acquittal at for the month of August, 1971, the end of the government's during which he allegedly concase, but indicated that he later could still dismiss a charge against Ehrlichman of lying to FBI agents.

House aide G. Gordon Liddy Eugenio Martinez and Ber- would not necessarily have with conspiring to violate the tions planned by the plumbers civil rights of Dr. Lewis Field- unit. ing, who was Ellsberg's psychiatrist, by breaking into his ther that she remembers court reporter who had rec- amination of Dr. Ellsberg's Beverly Hills, Calif., office on Young bringing a box of files orded Ehrlichman's testimony psychiatric Sept. 3, 1971. Ehrlichman also about the Ellsberg case to before the federal grand jury. have to be undertaken by the is charged with four counts of Ehrlichman's ofice in March, The reporter, Karen Schein- plumbers unit because the lying to federal investigators 1973. Young has testified with berg, played the role of Ehrl- psychiatrist had refused to probing the break-in.

case at 11:41 yesterday morn- case.

ing after having presented four and one-half days of testimony against the four men. The first two days of the trial was taken up by the jury selection proecss.

The government's case leans heavily upon the testimony of Young and Egil (Bud) Krogh, both of whom headed the White House plumbers unit that was set up to investigate leaks of classified information to the news media. Krogh pleaded guilty to civil rights conspiracy in the break-in, and Young was granted immunity from prosecution in return for his testimony.

that the words "break-in" or testified conversations with Ehrlichman before the Ellsberg operfelt he had approved the tion in that area, Judge Gesell break-in in conversations on interrupted: "Mr. Ehrlichman Aug. 5 and Aug. 30.

The government has also There's no produced numerous memo. that." randa addressed to Ehrlich- Breyer again handled the before the fact. man concerning the covert at- cross-examination for the spetempt to gain access to Ells cial prosecutor's office. He berg's psychiatric files that re- asked Hullin if he regularly sulted in the break-in, includ- saw memos written to Ehrliching an Aug. 11 memo on which man from Young in the plumb-Ehrlichman initialed his ap- ers unit. proval.

former White House secretary, then showed Hullin a copy of on the domestic council of the atrist, and a former assistant secretary of Labor testified in Ehrlichman's defense yester the corner. day afternoon.

The secretary, Jana Hruska, testified that Ehrlichman worked 13 to 14 hours a day, seven days a week during his years at the White House. Miss Hrwska read aloud Ehrlspired to break into Dr. Fielding's office.

The defense contends that Ehrlichman's many duties at Ehrlichman, former White the White House and his ity was "very good at the time from Dr. Ellsberg or Dr. Ellsheavy work load show that he nard L. Barker are charged known the details of opera- and to my knowledge, still is."

Miss Hruska testified fur-The prosecution rested its files were contained in a brief-

That testimony, according to that Ehrlichman had been the defense, goes to the point asked. of Young's credibility.

nation by Watergate Sepcail declarations to the grand jury, Prosecutor Charles Breyer, each of which carries a maxi-Miss Hruska was presented mum jail term of five years or with an FBI interview that she fine of \$10,000, or both. The had given in February of this specific exchanges in which year in which she said that Ehrlichman is said to have she did not remember Young given false answers are: briging any files to Ehrlichman's office in March, 1973. The contradiction was not pursued, and there was no attempt to reconcile it.

Hullin, whose father is a former law partner of Ehrlich-Although both men agree man in Seattle, Wash., also as to the heavy "entry" were never used in duties Ehrlichman had in the White House.

ation, they testified that they attempt to shorten examinahad a busy, responsible job dispute about

Hullin said he did not usu-Four persons-Ehrlichman's ally see those memos. Breyer an Aug. 11 memo in which the covert operation to obtain after I had heard of the break-White House, the CIA psychi- Ellsberg's psychatric files was discussed, and which contained the notation "See E" in

"Do hou recognize the handwriting, Mr. Hullin?" Breyer asked.

my own . . ." Hullin replied.

The character witness presented in Ehrlichman's behalf yesterday was Arthu Fletcher of Columbia, Md., a former assistant secretary of labor who said he is now an employment took place on or about Sepstandards consultant.

reputation for truth and verac-(in 1968) in the state of Wash- berg's psychiatrist? ington, where I'm from . .

The government's last witness before resting its case against the four men was the ichman on Aug. 5 that an exelaborate detail that those ichman giving his answers as give the operation to the FBI. Special Prosecutor Philip In addition, Ehrlichman

Ehrlichman is charged with However, upon cross-exami- three counts of making false

• "Q. And even part of that investigation was going to center on his (Dr. Ellsberg's) psychological profile, his mental attitudes, his habits, and possible motivations. Is that correct?

"A. Well, I learned about that after the fact . . .

"Q. When you say you learned about it after the fact, At one point, in an apparent what do you mean by that,

> "A. Well I learned after the break-in that they were looking for information for what they call a psychological profile. I was not aware of that

"Q. . . . Just so that the grand jury and we are clear on this, prior to receiving information about the break-in, you had no informaiton, direct or indirect, that a psychological profile of Dr. Ellsberg was being drawn up?

"A. I can't recall hearing of a psychological profile until in."

The government has introduced into evidence six memoranda addressed to Ehrlichman before the break-in occurred that it says prove that Ehrlichman knew about the "It could be very similar to profile before the California operation.

The second exchange in which Ehrlichman is charged with lying is:

"Q. Now were you aware before his break-in, which tember 3, 1971, that an effort He said that Ehrlichman's was going to be directed towards obtaining information

"A. Ahead of the fact? No."

Coconspirator Egil (Bud) Krogh has testified for the government that he told Ehrlrecords bluow Bakes repeated the questions signed a memorandum on

Aug. 11 in which he approved a "covert operation to examine files held by Dr. Ellsberg's psychiatrist," and that memorandum has been introduced into evidence by the government.

The third false declaration count contains these exchanges in which Ehrlichman is said to have lied, upon questioning by prosecutors as to the whereabouts of files relating to the Pentagon Papers case. Ehrlichman said that he thought Krogh had a file, and was then asked:

"Q. Anybody else have a file?

"A. I don't know.

"Q. So far as you know, prior to the break-in, whenever that was, I think it was sometime in September, Sept. 3, the only person that had a file that you knew of was Mr. Krogh?

"A. I believe that's right.

"...Q. Any other files in the custody of anybody else involved in this operation?

"A. Not that I know of. I would assume that Krogh had them all.

"Q. Did you even learn that anybody had any files before or after Sept 3?

"A. No, I don't believe so." Coconspirator David R. Young testified during the trial that he kept files on the case, and that Ehrlichman had asked to see them about six weeks before the grand jury testimony was given.

Young testified as well that Ehrlichman then removed certain "sensitive documents" from those files, including the Aug. 11 memorandum, because they. showed "too much forethought" about the break-in. Young had turned those documents over to the prosecutors to be given immunity by the time Ehrlichman gave the allegedly false testimony to the grand jury.

Ehrlichman also is charged with lying to FBI agents investigating the break-in when he told them on May 1, 1973, that he had not seen anything on the Pentagon Papers investigation conducted by the White House in more than a year. If convicted on that charge, he could be sentenced to five years in jail, fined \$5,000 or both.

At the end of the government's case, Ehrlichman's attorneys asked that the former top Nixon aide be acquitted of all five charges because of insufficient evidence, a normal move for the defense at the end of a prosecutor's case. Judge Gesell denied the motions relating to the three grand jury perjury counts and the conspiracy count, but denied the count relating to the FBI only after indicating that he might not allow any conviction on that to stand.

-70