Court of Appeals Calls for a 3-Judge Panel to Study The Legal Issues of Ownership of Nixon Documents

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 14—
The United States Court of Appeals decided today that a paniel of three judges should take up certain legal issues surrounding the ownership of Richard M. Nixon's tape recordings and documents without being bound in any way by a Federal judge's recent precedent-making ruling that the Government owned almost all the material.

In the interim, at Judge Richey ruled that almost all the 42 million documents, including tape recordings, papers and other items assembled during Mr. Nixon's five years in the White House, belonged to the Government. He held that Mr. Nixon could not no wexercise any claims of executive privilege over them to keep them secret because he was no longer President.

Privilege' Ruled Out the material.

In effect, the Court of Ap-In effect, the Court of Appeals impeals gave the three-judge panel of ownership all over the court criticized Judge of ownership all over the court criticized Judge of the court of Appeals imposed the stay initially because three-judge panel.

The Court of Appeals imposed the stay initially because three-judge panel.

The Court of Appeals imposed the stay initially because three-judge panel. issue of ownership all over of what the Court of Appeals Richey should have, but had again. The court, however, did contended was the knowledge not, referred to a special three-

The court's decision came in a 59-page decision filed late this afternoon. It was the latest ruling in a series by both the appeals court and the lower court, all stemming from a complex tangle of litigation involving lawsuits by former President Nixon and others, legislation by Congress and subpoenas by the Watergate special prosecutor. Like the litigation from which

'Privilege' Ruled Out

dent.

least the preliminary issue of whether the circumstances require or justify a ruling by a

Ruling Is Not Signed

The ruling was issued withnot dictate that the panel do that the court wanted him to judge panel a challenge by Mr. out signature by the three so.

Nixon to the constitutionality judges who handled the matter on behalf of the full court, Appeals Court Judges Spotswood Robinson 3d and Malcolm R. Wilkey and Senior Circuit Court

Judge Walter Bastian.

The ruling alsop rovided a means for the special prosecutor to get materials it needs from the Presidential documents, despite the stay. It also gave Mr. Nixon something of a victory. Mr. Nixon, through his attorney, Herbert J. Miller, had asked that the Congressional legislation be tested be-

Whether the decision will ultimately lead to a Nixon victory it is impossible to tell, for, according to lawyers involved in the matter there are a very in the matter, there are a number of ways in which the case could develop and end with a ruling similar if not identical to

Judge Richey's.

The issue of ownership of the materials has been of spelling the materials and the Waterweet of the materials has been of spelling the waterweet of the materials has been of spelling the waterweet of the materials has been of spelling the materials have been of the materials has been of spelling the materials have been of spelling the materials have been of the materials have been o cial concern to the Watergate special prosecution. In the fall, the prosecution issued subpoenas for several dozen White I House tape recordings and for scores of other documents.

The prosecution considered these materials necessary for l the continuation of its work in f the various investigations and cases that still remain open.