Richardson Urges Nixon To Drop Privilege Claims

By ANTHONY RIPLEY 11/7/73 Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 6-Former Attorney General Elliot L. Richardson said today that President Nixon should agree to drop all claims of executive privilege in the wide-ranging

investigations of the Water-

gate scandals.

In an appearance before the Senate Judiciary Committee, Mr. Richardson stated:

"I see no other way of this juncture of providing the reassurance necessary to the Congress and the American people that the special prosecutor can get to the bottom of all these matters."

He continued, "we have reached the point where it seems to me, any further conversation about privilege ought to be eliminated."

It was Mr. Richardson's first appearance before Congress since his resignation Oct. 20 in the tumult surrounding Mr. Nixon's orders to dismiss the spe-Watergate prosecutor, Archibald Cox.

Senator William B. Saxbe, Republican of Ohio, who has been named to succeed Mr. Richardson, will come before the Judiciary Committee for confirmation hearings as the new Attorney General. Senator Edward M. Kennedy, Democrat of Massachusetts, asked if Senconfirmation Saxbe's should be held up until the President makes a solid agreement on executive privilege.

"I think that would be a good idea," Mr. Richardson

Continued on Page 32, Column 3

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6

He stated that although there was no legal way to force such a commitment from the President, Mr. Nixon's refusal to do so "should be taken into account with regard to the whole situation."

Under questioning by reporters later, Mr. Richardson said his reference to "the whole situation" had to do with "a deeply eroded confidence" in public officials and the Presidency itself.

Asked if that "erosion" was

reflected in moves to seek a Presidential resignation or impeachment, he said, "That's a fair conclusion."

Guideline Sought

Senator Hiram L. Fong, Republican of Hawaii, asked Mr. Richardson how far the President should go in waiving privilege and if Mr. Nixon should turn over everything.

"In substance, yes," Mr. Richardson said, but added that some evidence of material value to the criminal investigations should be shown to guard against "fishing expeditions."

Mr. Richardson brought along with him a number of memos and letters that the committee

had sought.

One was a memorandum dated Aug. 21, from Robert H. Bork, the Solicitor General and now the Acting Attorney General. It outlined a "special consultant" to be set up between Mr. Cox and the White House to look into "national security" matters involving the White House special investigation unit, known as the "plumbers."

The working paper attached to it suggested the sharp curtailment of the "plumbers" investigation but limiting it to "the commission of criminal offenses."

"In judging criminality," the memo stated, three criteria will be employed."

It listed these as whether the actions taken by the plumbers were standard operating procedures, whether they involved physical entry and not merely wiretapping, and "the plausi-bility of the belief at the time that national security was involved."

It said that a "strong showing" of "plausibility" would possibly "eliminate the importance" of the other two.

In his opening remarks to the committee, Mr. Richardson stressed that the major problems during Mr. Cox's tenure as special prosecutor were a lack of personal Presidential commitment to the terms of charter for the job that was worked out with the committee. He also said that the charter, as he called the buidelines laid down last May, "did not and could not purport to guarantee He said he had talked to Mr.

President's statement on May 22 waiving privilege in testi-mony from White House aides on him. He said his only action on Watergate matters covered in regard to San Clemente was the ground. He learned later, to ask an aide to get him newshe said, that the statement only paper clippings on the subject, had covered testimony, not doc-since he was sure it would uments, and only the main Watergate break-in case at Demo-cratic national headquarters a edge of what reporters might the subsequent cover-up, not ask. the related matters being investigated By Mr. Cox.

Questioned by Kennedy

Senator Kennedy asked him who had been misled in believing that there was a firm commitment to the independence of Mr. Cox.

were? Quite clearly the American people understood . . . that this special prosecutor was to be independent, only to be fired for gross impropriety" the Senator stated.

Mr. Richardson seemed re-luctant to place any blame and Democrat of Arkansas, said said instead that he had that many in Congress felt an

by the committee was a warm ski, Mr. Cox's successor, gave one. He was generally praised Congres a veto power for having carried out his duties Presidential dismissal. well and his commitment to the

position of many Republicans in such dismissal.

the Senate and of Acting At"Is that constitutional?" Senorney General Robert H. Bork ator McClellan asked. that the naming of a court-appointed special prosecutor that," Mr. Richardson replied. might be unconstitutional.

President, but subject to Sen-appointed special prosecutor, ate confirmation.

Eastland if Mr. Cox had been ties are as long as the President dismissed because he was "on can hire and as long as he can the verge of discovering sensa-fire, people aren't going to tional stuff," Mr. Richardson re-believe."

had publicly emerged was in the works that I knew of," he

Mr. Richardson was asked by Senator Kennedy about an Oct. 23 article in The New York Times that quoted Mr. Richardson through associates as saying the President had not been in the best of mental condition.

"There was a period from around early July in which I thought the President showed a considerable sense of strain," Mr. Richardson said.

He said the President had telephoned him from Key Biscayne, Fla., saying he wanted Mr. Cox to issue a public state-

access to Presidential memoranda and notes."

He said he thought that the

Cox about the matter.

Mr. Cox had told the committee earlier of the same contained to purpose the same contain versation but said he did not on him. He said his only action come up in a news conference

Mr. Richardson said that "sometime in September or early October" he met with Mr. Nixon in the Oval Office to discuss the problems surrounding former Vice President Spiro T. Agnew.

At the close of the meetings, he said, Mr. Nixon spoke to him and "it had something to

do with getting rid of Cox."
"I didn't take it seriously." Mr. Richardson said. "I thought it was an expression of irritation."

thought he was acting with independent prosecutor was needed and asked if the new Mr. Richardson's reception arrangement with Leon Jawor-Congres a veto power over a

Under the arrangement, eight senior Senators, with six agree-He agreed generally with the ing, would hav to agree to any

Senator Birch Bayh, Demo-He said he would prefer to crat of Indiana who is a leader have the naming left with the of a Senate drive for a courtsaid in an interview later, "I Asked by Senator James O. don't care what the legal nice-

plied that he did not think so.

"Nothing essentially new or radically different than what "We'd be foolish to let the same