William Raspberry Professory Turning Over the Tapes: Another

Funny how your words come back to nake you look silly. Last September, or instance, when the President was nsisting that "there is nothing whatver in the tapes that is inconsistent" with his earlier accounts of White Iouse conversations on Watergate, his is what I had to say:

"I believe him for a number of realons, one of which is that he has had lme to have the tapes 'neutered,' but he most persuasive being that he knew t all times that he was being taped.

"And while it may be that he elicited ome damning statements from some if his subordinates, or perhaps even ave some veiled hints about what he ranted them to do, it is insane to suppose that he deliberately went on record as a participant in a criminal conpiracy to obstruct justice."

With that as a measure of my infaliility, let me hit you with another thery of mine. (It seems clear that some heory other than the official one is needed to explain some of the funny

oings-on with the tapes.)

Maybe it was nothing more than bad udgment that the tapes weren't detroyed within hours of Alexander Buterfield's revelation that they existed. he President would have had a plauible explanation for destroying hem: The need to reassure foreign dipomats and heads of state that their rivate conversations with him would emain forever confidential.

But that's the sort of thing you have o do quickly or you can't do it at all.

le didn't do it quickly.

Now, just for the sake of the mental xercise, suppose that the President and his former chief of staff H.R. laldeman worked out a scenario that ent something like this:

Suppose they decided that the tapes puld conceivably come in handy later n—for instance, to discredit John lean who hadn't known he was on recrd. It could have been a devastating oup if the White House had been able whip out a recording that proved ean a liar, with Dean's own voice.

The only problem would have been hat the President and Haldeman were a the tapes as well and that they light have severely embarrassed aemselves in an effort to knock the rops from under Dean.

Now suppose that the President and his most trusted aide decided to listen to the key tapes to see just how embarrassing they might be, and suppose that they found them to be simply too embarrassing to use—in their present form.

So what do they do? It might occur to them to see whether they couldn't pull off a little editing job. Suppose, for openers, they tried to doctor the recording of the first post-burglary conversation with former Attorney General John Mitchell.

Mitchell, remember, is the guy who has been accused of authorizing the series of operations that included Watergate. Suppose that Haldeman and the President—without Mitchell's knowledge or cooperation—tried to dub in

the President's end of the conversation, to make him appear more "isolated" than he really was. And suppose they botched the job so badly that they had to throw the entire tape away.

Perhaps it occurred to them that they had better try something a bit less ambitious. It's pretty tricky stuff to dub in half of a conversation, but surely they could re-do the crucial segment of the June 20, 1972, tape that included only the chief and his chief aide.

Suppose they tried it repeatedly—say five to nine times—and still couldn't make it come out sounding authentic. Now they're stuck; they can't come up with a convincing substitute conversation and, obviously,

Theory

they can't put back the original one—and probably wouldn't dare even if they could.

So they fight to hold on to the two experimental tapes for as long as they can, refusing requests, denying subpoenas and—finally—firing Archibald Cox and very nearly bringing down the government.

All to no avail. The tapes have to be produced. Well, what about verified transcripts? No, the tapes themselves.

Well, son of a gun, you know that Mitchell tape never existed in the first place. And the Nixon-Haldeman tape? Oh, it's right here, except, of course, for the only part of it that matters. Sinister forces seem to have got to that part. All 18½ minutes.

So you've got a better theory?