Hill Won't Get Data

Jaworski Keeping Nixon Tapes By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

Watergate Special Prosecutor Leon Jaworski has decided not to share his evidence with the House Judiciary Committee for its inquiry into the impeachment of President Nixon.

The step could delay the House inquiry for months. But Jaworski says he feels bound not to give up the presidential tapes and documents furnished him by the White House unless the courts overrule him.

"As far as I can resist, I've got to do it," Jaworski said in an interview.

Going a step further, the special prosecutor also said he did not think it would be proper for him even to supply the House inquiry with a list of the tapes and documents that might be needed to weigh the merits of impeachment.

Jaworski described himself as tied down by the strictures of confidentiality with which Mr. Nixon's lawyers gave him the evidence, by the secrecy of grand jury proceedings, and by the need to avoid prejudicial publicity for the lesser figures he plans to indict.

"Part of a prosecutor's job is to make sure we give the accused a fair trial," Jaworski said. "Obviously, we don't want things made public that would prevent that."

The issue boils down to what to put first in the Watergate scandal and other misdeeds that have shaken the White House-criminal trials in the courts or impeachment proceedings in the House.

As a prosecutor, Jaworski made plain that he considers it his obligation to put the court trials first. Conceivably, he said in response to a question, Mr. Nixon might even be needed as a witness.

Jaworski predicted that indictments in every major investigation by his office-except perhaps for some involving campaign financing-would be handed down by the end of February.

Presumably that will mean the filing of charges in the

See JAWORSKI, A18, Col. 3

Watergate break-in and coverup, the 1971 Ellsberg burglary carried out by the White House "plumbers," and the administration's controversial settlement of antitrust cases against the International Telephone and Teiegraph Corp.

"We hope to start trials in March if we possibly can," Jaworski said. He said he hoped knew, from our own sources

end of the year.

Meanwhile, the House Judiciary Committee's ad hoc panel on impeachment has made tentative overtures for the evidence in Jaworski's possession, but no formal requests.

twice with the special prose-that Jaworski would respect cutor, but on both occasions their "confidentiality." inconclusively. Doar said he is still hopeful that "a way can it's secret," Jaworski said. be found to work this out." "There may be a few things But that seems doubtful in supplied us that are irreleview of Jaworski's remarks.

Since mid-December, the uments, it's doggone soon that prosecutor said, the White it goes to the grand jury." House has been supplying him Once indictments are re-

JAWORSKI, From A1 | quested tapes and papers. Jaworski asked for the tapes of 10 more presidential conversations just three days ago.

"The information we've re-ceived," he said, "is not only substantial, but I think meaningful and so far as I know, all of it is relevant."

The White House "never once volunteered anything," and devices, what we needed." He said he threatened subpoenas unless the materials were produced.

The White House submissions since then, Jaworski said, "generally" have come with a letter saying the docu-The chief counsel for the for grand jury consideration ments were being furnished inquiry, John Doar, has met and with the understanding

"Once it's in the grand jury, vant, but when we get the doc-

with a steady flow of re-turned, the prosecutor said,

the problem of pretrial public-congressional court of imity comes into play. Along that peachment. In an off-the-cuff line, Jaworski indicated that remark during a hearing behe would like to see the Sen- fore the U.S. Court of Apate Watergate hearings come to an end. The House Judiciary Committee has not yet decided whether its hearings will be open or closed.

"Conceivably, the holding of peachment proceeding. public hearings could affect the fairness of an accused's trial," Jaworski said.

As far as he is concerned, Jaworski made clear the House committee will either have to convince the courts to lift the secrecy of the grand jury—or it will have to start all over again and pry the evidence from the White House.

The situation represents a complete reversal of last summer's court battles when Mr. Nixon and his lawyers were fighting former Watergate Special Prosecutor Archibald Cox's subpoena of the Presidents' Watergate tapes.

then that the President could conceivably happen." not be held answerable to the judiciary, that he could be dent's taped conversations

peals, Mr. Nixon's chief constitutional adviser, Charles Alan Wright, said he did not think executive privilege could be claimed in the face of an im-

Now, however, the White House is supplying Watergate prosecutors with what they want and promising a fight over any attempts by the House of Representatives to obtain the same files.

According to Jaworski, not all of the documents he needs have been located yet. Some, he says, may have been misfiled. Others "may not exist." But, he adds, "we haven't been refused anything."

Asked whether he could foresee any need for testimony from Mr. Nixon, Jaworski paused and said:

"That could be so ... You're The White House contended raising something that could

He said some of the Presicalled to account only in a might make it necessary to call on Mr. Nixon for elabora- what to do with the findings. tion.

not elaborate on his misgiv- the evidence was there - that ings about giving the House impeachment rather than ininquiry at least a list of the dictment ought to come first. documents that it might need. Following Cox's ouster, the reached no conclusion on the Watergate force reportedly issue. He said his staff is still supplied senior members of studying the question, a chore the Senate Judiciary Committhat they have ostensibly been tee with a confidential run-working on since June. down of the evidence the prosecutors were seeking at that in a "real crunch," he would

of prejudicial publicity stem- the House of Representatives, ming from impeachment pro- but Jaworski evidently does ceedings might be to seek not share that view. He said postponement of trials in the he would be inclined to precourts. Jaworski, however, sent it to a grand jury, and let said he has never given that a the courts decide whether an thought.

"Postponing trials can be Such a step could also might lose some valuable wit- crecy of the grand jury. nesses. Anyway, once an indictment is returned, a trial stands in no immediate danger ought to be held as soon as it of indictment. And the House can."

a mandate to investigate efforts before it can make any "allegations against the Presi-intelligent up-or-down judg dent," but it does not spell out ment about impeachment.

Former special prosecutor Cox The special prosecutor did held to the view — assuming

Jaworski said he has

Cox once told newsmen that take any incriminating evi-One solution to the problem dence about the President to indictment is feasible.

very costly," he said. "You shroud the evidence in the se-

In any case, Mr. Nixon Judiciary Committee appears Jaworski's charter includes to be headed for some lengthy