Clearer copies in Memis, notes - mine " file

The Wetergate Whitewash: The Larger Soundal-The Indictment. HW 9/16/72

This is written early in the a.m., after seeing evening TV last night and a reading of the early edition of the Post, which is the one that reaches here. It will be written without consultation of earlier notes. Faulty recall may lead to error.

In retrospect, perhaps the sharpest thing is the clarity with which the covering up was visible from the first. The accuracy with which it couldbe forecast from what appeared in public sources only makes Democratic fumbling less easily understood. (Could be means was, for my earlier notes accurately predic who would be indicted-no errors of omission or commission.) With the ineptness of the Democrats there is what is not ineptness but abdication by the press. I can't recall a single break in the three months since the arrests where one can with certainty say it was developed by diligent reporting. Not one case that does not now as it did then seem to be a leak or a tip. This morning's rost headline is typical of the subtlety with which the ress became part of the whole covering-up: Nixon Ex-Aides, 5 Others Indicted in Bugging Case." Now they were not "ex-aides" at the time of the crime. They were working for Mixon. And there were three, two of whom had worked, one of whom, although from the reporting it would not be known, was still working for the White House.

Time pressures have such to do with what reporters can't recall or don't think of, but one of the glaring things omitted is that there are evert con ections of all but one of those indicted with the CIA. Not stated. That one was FEL. Not stated.

Glaring omissions in the indictment as reported not indicated. And that it add practically nothing to what had been leaked in the careful and successful management of the press is not only not indicted but misrepresented with the statement that new information was disclosed. It is new in that it is a detail and significant in that it diverts from the Republican organization.

That the indictment was handed down on a Friday is not without possible significance. That what it would and would not do was known to the GOF hierarchy and when is clear from the changes in their positions and statements, in the disappearance of what could be called close to hysteria. When Friday afternoon is picked, it means everal things: less space in the papers from smaller editions, less attention there andon the electronic media because people are or are preparing for weekending, and fewer people are available for somment if the press wants comment. The story thus cools and has has prospect for second-day attention because people are not easily available, the working staffs are smaller, the availabilities are at the weeks lowest on all media, and this, in turn, means that attention is concentrated on what the administration wants, its laundering in the its self-purging indictments. These could not be more carefully tailored to exculpate the guilty not named and to hide what can still be dared.

One of the dead givenewys and one of the things the Democrats should not have fumbled is the GOP play that people should await the indictments, bracketed with the pretenses that the U.S.Attorney's office is independent, not controlled by the administration; that the grand jury was independent, not controlled by the administration through the U.S.Attorney; and that it would exhaust everything. The reality is that the presentation was limited by what the FBI chose to deliver of what it chose to investigate and of that what it chose to include. I digress to note that in contradiction to everything else, Gray said the investigation is continuing. This is strandard FBI self-protection against future charges the nature of which are now obvious enough. What the FBI delivers is then filtered by the prosecutor, who gives the grand jury only what he wants it to have and then is generally able to fix its interpretation of the filtered evidence. Nost obvious of what was here ignored is anything having to do with the already-accounted \$114,000 and the pretense that shifther honey involves in the conspiracy is \$1,600 that Middy gave the suspect James W. McGord". Yet one of the counts is paraphrased, against McGord, "Purchasing a \$3,500 system 'capable of receivingintercepted wire and oral-communications'."

This is worth analyzing out of context lest I forget. it says if there is accurate quotation that all that is charged to record is receiving equipment purchases (more than twicewhat biddy is said to have given him). It seems to say that the phone tapping was transmitted by radio, not direct taps. Collectively, this seems to say that 100% of the inspired comment about the antiquated character of the equipment marked this as a ham job.

An entire book could be written in analysis of the semantics and the indictment if one had the full text, ask I think, ultimately, I will shother or not published. It serves

administration/re-election/ GOP interests for the text to get the widest possible dissemination to exculpates, in the light of what was leaked and repeated, all the bigger people involved. Obvious examples, Stans and hitchell and several in the white House. Bearing on this is the agrressive GOP response, demands for public apologies for telling the truth, the truth thus becoming "political libel".

while the conspiracy alleged includes frequent trips to and from miasi by a large number of people and at least 40 calls to the GOP from Parker alone, there is no accounting of its financing, which is as incredible as the Post's bland acceptance of it. 't states that only >1,600 was involved in the conspiracy, but that sum can't pay for the travel alone. Yet hecord is admitted to have spent \$3,500 on a special purchase for this, motel rooms were rented and there were all the other obvious expenses. This the bugs the masters, a cost, but the language leaves this unexplained as reported.

Incidently, on the equipment, there would seem to be an ope-and-chut FCC case on the use of GOP-licensed communications equipment, captured with the men. But there is no such charge in the indictment. The walkie-talkies were specially licensed with special, sesigned frequencies. Thus the GOP hierarchy is excul ated and charges against the men are compromised, one of the apparent requirements ingetting them to take the rap in seeming milence.

(here the leaking to the Post of what is attributed to one of the principals may be significant and may telegraph that the Deconcrats had advance knowledge of the omissions of the indictment. It says and there was reported what is omitted. It means that there was another involved and not charged or that Liddy or Bunt turned informant.)

There is no use of the \$114,000 and there is clear violation of law in it. Crime. It is not accounted for and it would seem to have been eliminated with deliberate purpose in the false charge that only \$1,600 was involved in the conspiracy. his can't be true of only that conspiracy charged, which is not the same as the real one already disclosed.

There is no mention of Caddy, how he figured in it, how he knew and could appear to represent the man when arrested. his is set forth in earlier memors and was obvious as is the a cy quite obviously false. "earing on this is the acknowledgement that the men had on them 40 rolls of film. They didnot carry that to the offices not int uding to use it, and they could not have begun to add this to what remained to be done by the 3:00 point-of-no-return advance varying alleged to have been established with Barker's wife. (It may be possible to conceive Caddy as the unnamed Democratic informant, but I doubt it.)

funt's employment is avoided. I can't imagine him not being apid. It had to be from an unidentified spurce or the agency or the White House or a combination. It has been pretended that unt's last employment was the time of the last check dateins, the end of Harch. He continued working for the White House, hwosever, and saen't fired until after his connection became known. From the story he emerges as the man in immediate charge of this job.

There seems to be no charge of the use of what was stolen, and it seems to have been used. There is no mention of others involved, and here I mean aside from through the 5114,000 and even accepting the fiction that these were independent, "self-starters". Example: any squad in the Howard common motel; the other men registered at the Watergate motel; skilled typists to transcribe the necessarily voluminous intercepts, and with the activity in the Democrator offices, if this were limited to the conversations on L. Spancer liver's phone alone. There are probably others.Or, the conspiracy alleged in the indictment can't be explained by the indictment. and as explained, it was a purposeless conspiracy, purposeless conspiracy, purposeless

Four of the eight charges are crimes under local law. What is not generally understood that local government is control ed by the White House. These charges could have been filed immediately, all or most without any investigation. That, hencever, would have meant trial before the election, thus it was avoided and local authority abdicated to federal, which could pretend delay was required by an allegedly exhaustive investigation aptly and repeatedly compared with that of the JFE assassination.

Aside from the brazen control exercised by the administration over what would be presented, who and what would be charged, the U.S.Attorney went a step further and demanded that its back and appointee, thief Judge Sirica, appoint a special judge on this case, not let it go through ordinary channels and be assigned to the next judge, the one whose turn it is. The claim is made that this is justified because this is a special case. It is, however, a mechanism for chosing as a judge to administration like ing, the closest thing in DC to a Julius Hoffman, and he will sit on what the administration only offers in evidence.

13/1

If this is pretty raw, it nonetheless serves the interests of the defendants and if Rothblatt and other lawyers did not have compromising connections, their legitimate pursuit of the actual interests of their clients make this more than acceptable to them. They'd be crazy to protest and nobody else has a legal basis unless malfeasance, non-feasance, etc, are to be charged in court spearately. But who prosecutes the prosecutor?

It is not difficult to visualize Sirica casting himself in the role of the man who has to assume the responsibilities of the 'hief Judge and take the unpleasant, difficult

job upon himself. With predictable results, knowing his record.

When I heard the story on 5:30 radio news and turned on the T', the item was being reported (WTOP-TV) and I missed what had been said. The closing emphasis was typical of all reporting I know of, emphasizing the demand for public apology, here of O'Brien, elsewhere by McGovern and others. Not repeated at 6 but opener on WRC-TV's evening news.

NEC's net news has Liddy and Bunt (alone) doing the intercepting) and has Carl Stern

saying that the manes of top officials were not submitted to the grand jury.

(One of the obvious journalistic efforts probably will not be made, to interview members

of the grand jury to learn is it was menipulated.)

In his handling of the news. John Chamberlain said"two are of particular interest", AcCord andBarker. Or, none directly connected with the White House. Why the drones are of particular intrest was not clear. There are those clearly above them.

NBC aired interviews with the four "ubans in "land, where Burker had been charged. Althblatt was with them. They are were presented sympathetically, uncritically, posing as true patriots and in a red-baiting attack on Recovern and the Demograte for all the world as though there are patriots and not caught criminals. NBC thus spent the first third of it 30 minutes of news without giving the charges and mairing the accused in political libel and as martyrs. Without any questioning, they used such descriptions of their victims of crime as "the enemy". Fiorini added that "the enemy, of course, is communicate."

Although a Saturday night, CBS had nine remotes, nine locations. Interestingly in the shorter time it devoted to the story it began by saying these were the "first indicts ments". Justice is quoted as saying the investigation is over. Then this, obviously false in any context except official propaganda, "hobody at the "hite House and anything to do with it." (But NBC had shown Gray and Kleindinest at the FBI Acadesy graduation with K bragging of the magnitude of the investigation, again comparing with that of JFK ass. and Gray saying the investigation is not over. Thus the FBI can always say it is still purching leads, that the checking of what is known is proceeding, etc.) Here agisnthe empasis is on \$1,600 cash only, not the already-accounted \$114,000. BJ chief flack Hutchens quoted on several points, one being that there is no evidence that others should be charged. Rothblatt of Barker, "Whatever he had done he is not ashamed of." Barker, "I don't care for the role of informer". (Which makes one wonder shat he planned to do with his pictures of stolen files if any not to act as GOP informer.) Reference was to information he has about the roles of others, whether or not nesed not clear.

Flashbacks to Liddy's earlier career designed to accommodate official administration line of gung he self-starters. Such t ings as always carring a pistel when Dutchess County assistant D.A. This part was disperpertionably long and is one of the seems by which the already-indequate indictments was shadowed in the viewers' minds. Emphasis included "over-sealousness of Liddy. Bluntly, nothing but the official line was mired.

No Post editorial-yet. There was time for today, but probably they are writing to make full analysis. This did not preclude saying what does not require analysis, that this is clearly a whitework and the reclude saying what does not require analysis, that this is

clearly a whitewash and the of icial control at all steps should be understood.

Media down-play and misplat continued on a.m. radio. No mention major news WTOP 7 a/m., earlier for some time WAVA (all-news inde) for some time. Not top news GBS net 8 a.m., not mentioned to 8:09 when combination weak battery and poor location while I was walking blocked signal intermittmetly, but on what I heard no mention. Lengthy repeat yesterday's story on release testimony invelle case, however.

The character of the indictment, its content and omissions, and the mishandling, the enepthess by the Democrats, plus the careful and successful newsmanagement, have dulled this incredible crime to the point where it may be more nor than just something from which "emocrats fail to get the mileage they should be able to expect of it. It may wind up with a fair number of veters actually believing the Democrats jave libelled the "epublicans and people close to mixon over it.