## rica Denies Watergate Venue 7-10-74

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

U.S. District Court Judge John J. Sirica refused yesterday to shift the Watergate cover-up trial from the nation's capital, saying it might become an even bigger cause celebre in any city that suddenly got the case.

The judge, however, promised to reconsider his decision if it should prove impossible to pick an impartial jury here.

Sirica made his ruling in a 21-page order striking down virtually every pre-trial defense motion that President Nixon's former aides have raised in an effort to block or postpone their forthcoming cover-up trial this September.

The only key issue left up in the air was a bid by former White House aide Gordon Strachan to have the charges against him dismissed because of what his lawyers called "an honorable deal" that government prosecutors made with him last year.

Sirica said he would hold a secret hearing July 29 to thresh out Strachan's claims that Watergate prosecutors are trying to bring him to trial on evidence that Strachan first supplied-with the understanding that it would not be used against him.

The judge made his rulings as two of the defendants in the cover-up case-former Attorney General John N. Mitchell and Nixon re-election committee lawyer Kenneth W. Parkinson—asked the Su-

away from Sirica.

Most of the defendants had marshal's office. already asked the U.S. Court of Appeals here to disqualify he was biased in favor of the prosecution, but they were rebuffed there by a 5-to-1 ruling last month.

Mitchell and Parkinson asked the Supreme Court for rush consideration of their petition, but there was no indication of when the high court might take it up.

The cover-up trial is scheduled to start Sept. 9. In addition to Strachan, Mitchell and Parkinson, the defendants are former White House aides H. R. (Bob) Haldeman and John D. Ehrlichman and former Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian. Mr., Nixon and 18 others have been named as unindicted co-conspirators.

Sirica cautioned all prospec-defense contention that the tive jurors for the fall term in government, through the Sen-U.S. District Court here that they might have to be seques "responsible for having generthey might have to be sequestered for "about three months" if they should be chosen for the cover-up trial. The judge sent out the advisory in a letter accompanying the

preme Court to take the case summonses for jury service ated highly inflammatory pubroutinely issued by the U.S. licity" toward the defendants.

> gate investigation, had asked hearings. for a wide variety of remedies ment—because of what they ineffective. called an avalanche of prejudicial pre-trial publicity.

Haldeman's lawyers also asked Sirica to permit him to put reporters and government officials on the witness stand—before the trial in an effort to show that much of the publicity stemmed from government sources.

In denying all those motions. Sirica said it would be premature to conclude that a fair trial is impossible without making any attempt to pick a Anticipating a lengthy trial, jury first. He also rejected the

The judge pointed out that The six defendants, facing none of the defendants was trial on charges of conspiring under indictment at the time the judge on the grounds that to block the original Water- he testified at the Senate

Similarly, Sirica said that including switching the trial switching the trial to another to another city, postponement city, or granting the defendand dismissal of the indict- ants separate trials, would be

"It is likely that the trial of any defendant associated with the so-called 'Watergate affair' would be a cause celebre in any city," the judge held. "It is also probable that transferring this case to another city would intensify, rather than alleviate, the problem of potential prejudice resulting from localized publicity."