Nixon Fights Committee Bid for Tapes

By ANTHONY RIPLEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 24 asked the United States District Court here today to rejejct the request for a summary judg-court is being asked to resolve nal investigations into the Wament that would force Mr. a direct clash of power be-tergate affair. Nixon to turn over to the Sen-tween two branches of goven-

committes, was exceeding constitutional limits and its own dential decision to invoke mandate in requesting the tapes executive privilege is by argues that the judge's ruling, and that the President had an definition a political decision which is now under appeal, unquestioned power to give in-... it involves ... a complex could not stand up because it blend of policy, perspective was an infringement of Presi

withhold it.

Based on the foundation that province of the executive the President has a right of branch. Neither the court nor "executive privilege" to withhold information and that it is political

an initial policy determination allowed the Federal bench to that the President has impropactly or mistakenly invoked exceptive privilege. Such a determination that the President has impropagate act only when there was a carefully defined case or controversy before it and that the mination by the court is constitutionally impermissible and violates the most basic tenents of the separation of powers.
"Moreover it is a determina-

substitute its judgment for that of the President."

Disclose at Hearings

The Senate committee, headed by Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., wanted the tapes only to re-Democrat of North Carolina, solve conflicts in testimony went to the courts on July 23 among witnesses who have apseeking a declaratory judgment to compel the President to turn "The committee's mandate over the tape recordings. The was to identify illegal, improwhich were made in the Presidential offices, came to light through the testimony of a forthrough mer Presidential aide during th cate questions of guilt or in-Watergate hearings.

mittee filed a motion for sum-mary judgment. Today's brief was in answer to that motion "But every time a member of

dential cooperation in such portance of 'who said what to matters had traditionally been whom' or 'what the President voluntry but that the com-mittee had "violated this time-time the committee's brief writ-

time-honored tradition—that is, to hold that for the sake of exposure."
the President can be subjected In its conclusion, the brief

'Direct Clash' Cited

It continued, "The Presi-

and knowledge uniquely the dential power. decision part of his exclusive power, made by the President."
The brief argues:

"The court is asked to make Constitution and court rules Watergate committee's request failed to meet the definitions.

Mandate at Issue

"Moreover it is a determination beyond judicial abilities since the court simply cannot substitute its independent of the Constitution and the Constitution the Constitution and under the resolution setting up the committee."

It stated that the Senate It stated that the Senate

Watergate hearings.

When the President replied by refusing the tapes, the committee filed a motion for cum-

The brief stated that Presi- the committee speaks of the imhonored tradition" when it ers harp, as they do so repeatissued two subpoenas. edly on 'the President's own "Now the committee urges possible criminality,' they make this court to violate another it manifest that whey are in-

constitutional terested in here is 'to expose

Nixon's lawyers judiciary," the brief said.

In its conclusion, the brief hoted the "related litigation" by Archibald Con the model. Archibald Cox, the special pros-The brief argued that, "the specific tapes for use in crimi-

ate Watergate committee tape recordings made in his office. In a 71-page motion filed with Chief Judge John J. Sirica, Mr. Nixon's lawyers argued that the court had no jurisdiction in the matter, that the committee was exceeding controlled turn over the tapes to the judge so he could determine which portions are revelant to the criminal investigations and which legitimately fall within the President's need for confidentiality. dentiality.

> The brief submitted today was an infringement of Presi-