Your 7/7 point in Hunt memo, Dean testo, has much to support the inference that the CIA had much more riding on WG than appears overtly. It can be taken that GL in effect said this. If so, how can they dare deny him? I can't think of anything other than the inference of what I'm working on. his own personal involvements. Well, one other: what he knows of the Ogarric deal. By now you know that courtesy Barry Sussman I do have the full, verbatim copy, 245 legal-sized pages. You also know I'm trying for galleys Hunt's book. But reading takes writing time, as does filing. And viewing. Digest: forget, but think 11/64. I've decided not to interview McCord. Perhaps after I've finished, I'll ask Dean. Chiefly re Hunt, spookings. I think there is much more to the domestic-intelligence operation that disclosed. Mitchell can be taken to have indicated this, too... Unless the committee has something in the bworks that is not immediately apparent, they made a big mistake to hear Mitchell before Whrlichman and Haldeman. After they shiv John, he'll not not be able to do anything. I think they will and he'd have screamed, GL or no GL. HW 7/10/73