

This should mean another way for Nixon to have known Hunt.
He was handling \$115,000 a month, presumably in cash, to pay salaries, rentals, etc. What a possible multi-house!
These right-wing nuts actually say "Hunt" over the name Batista & Hunt is without question?

Read this page makes me wonder if Hunt would run a clandestine operation in a desert island. He goes around among old friends of his CIA days, hits on 7 his old ~~house~~ honcho - even knows a big safe into his apartment. Goes "each month night the same" "safe house" to meet the same guy - from the CIA Station! Then he would meet his honcho "Sam" at another "safe house"

16. Yup. But top secret operation. "... The department office was being visited by a succession of Cuban police and investigation, tax & customs bank detectives and immigration officers" (see also 17)

17. I know address violated, but that is O.R. Hunt moves by from

18. Barker had joined Batista's police for the CIA

163 19. Hunt engineered a "barndry" for CIA money in Miami, via foreign banks. Apparently he & Bender were equals & he could & did have restrictive orders issued. Bender appears to be as big a man com job as Hunt. Good old Sam of the federal bank, he protest when the CIA got him "a beach house with a car called garage and indoor swimming pool"

20. But the fat nut in him let his arm be fenced. But Hunt abused up even so simple a thing as a rental! The local cop worried by guess CIA. His great honcho Sam compromised everyone with underness (Sam, who should be known to the Mexican police, should talk about Hunt)

21. Good old Tony DeLoach, to be Cuban President by the pay roll padded for US taxpayers & support. Hunt's word, "pensioners"

22. I have no politics
23. Hunt appears to say he had direct access to Allen Dulles. The order to surveil doesn't say was under IKE, not JFK, if left deliberate & unclear

24. He keeps talking about the big side of infiltration teams. An intention was intended, not infiltration of a few ~~agents~~
no US fed it to in Cuban an open project rules under IKE

27 Hunt's disavowal of his own political beliefs & views of the beliefs Cubans should hold and of US policy here (and just before, about Article in particular, validate every thing Castro has said. He was the political leader of a counter-revolution, of a would-be return to the status quo ante. Only a minority of wealthy Cubans wanted that. He leaves only a shell of his whole Knight, Usonia.

28 This reactionary policy was carried out further by turning propaganda over to the DRB, of whom Brungner is a sample. It apparently never occurred to these political infants that if there were so many dissident groups in exile & if they could not get along in a common purpose over throwing Castro, they had no chance at all of governing a country, esp. when the leader chosen by the US would not get along with anyone & had what Hunt calls a "corrosive" personality. Without understanding it, he says that Castro did have the backing of the guajiro after he took power.

Hunt is out to destroy all he stood for. Next it is that the woman was a secret - it would be out waddy say. And those in the camps who opposed authoritarianism he calls "malcontentos".

Note - no mention of Barkin for some time.

Hunt avoids the question of pre-WWII conditions - says no word about it or what refusal of an inspection had to mean - confusion - and in general is completely without underst. anding. He has only the year for the dead past.

29 All of this under IRE & Nixon. Before JFK was killed "at his Palm Beach compound" (It wasn't his it was his) But the important thing to note is that in Hunt's own representation, all of JFK gave Dulles & Bessell was "a qualified go-ahead".

TO Hunt, without a firm O.R. from the new President, who had not yet taken office, there was "only one high way ahead". This is only another example of his inability to distinguish between his desires & int. policy.

Hunt doesn't even say - or know - the alleged reason IRE had a relation. Nor does he indicate how captured was his act of business relations (deleg before the end of his Presidency, an act the only purpose of which was to bring JFK's name with the design of military & CIA policy on Cuba.

4) U.O. Johnny was to have "appeared" of Castro. But he does admit that previous ambassadors he names Gardner & Smith, were "big business emissaries". He acknowledges that when Castro invited on the reduction of the staff of the U.S. Embassy "among our expelled Embassy personnel was the entire staff of the CIA station in Cuba." This is to say a) Castro was right & b) The CIA had no base in the country & all its staff were in the Embassy.

29-30 - His commentary on "Jim" is a failure of his own ignorance & lack of understanding & more - refusal to consider anything not in accord with his own political belief & preconceptions.

30 I am uncertain but believe this is a fictitious account of the "general strike", given to defend Manuel Reg. His footnote ignores reference to Sergio Larbo's belief & statement of associations.

18) (This chapter title is one of mine, new II)

31 His concept of radical left in Latin America - moving from!

32 His ranting of the press in China. - a long, factually wrong political diatribe. If he spoke as he says he did to any anti-

Batista Cubans, the work is that they saw him again.

Diaz Lanz & Finini - highlighting of Havana. Makes him a hero to them.

of Finini, a footnote that would refer to either, "Later, as Frank Sturges, a Watergate defendant." To this point, no ref to W. J. Hunt's part in it.

32-3 Long passage on Diaz Lanz, without ref to his fascist connections in U.S., with an aside on the US press for not portraying him as a hero. Or, the US press was pro-Castro.

Followed by defense of the McClinty criticism of the press for criticizing him.

34 Before working up this meaningless jab on Diaz Lanz dropping bullets on ~~the~~ Cuba, he reported he had prohibited it. He also knew it violated international law. But having accused the futile & illegal. He complains that (under JFK's watch!) "State" banned this great thing without reason.

35 bottom: France "infringing" ~~means~~ means threat. This also means there were no secrets in Miami from Castro. But, for this the executive emissaries went to see Bender? ~~to~~ How did he know the name?

36 You would never know from this of the recognized L & traditions of political asylum. In 1967 he can't say doubt-check-up?

37 This comment on "State" & the weakness of the incompetent of Diaz Lanz, not a date to the pre Kennedy period, which he fails to say - or indicate.

- 38 We find out Ray that the OCS had clinic is in New Orleans, not Mexico City, or to identify Ochsner, far-right promoter of CIA anti-Castro activities. Each to mention IUCF a earlier CIA-MO workers
- "Frank" - see Haynes Johnson, not a serious caregiver of f' note
- 39 not pretty picture of Hunt/CIA's Vietnam. Ref. at bottom to involvement
- 45-46 He opposes a JCS in spectrum of highly "training" & "readiness" because he found them "impressive" (he reports only his opinion of some activity before this - non-combat, that is) because it would be "upsetting" and "detracts from the training routine"! A military inspection. These used to be regular in the US Army
- 46 Meeting at WH with Schlesinger, date not given Bottom: Spoke that - he was even read draft of "white paper" not a elliptical report to having met Schlesinger in part 1950-51
- 47 Rush "what our plan of being in Trinidad by air." Who "our" is is ~~rather~~ neither stated nor clear. Hunt? (It?) Pretty well any way & the international part of Rush's report would have been certain. Crazy, politically-ignorant people! He interprets the pleasure of Edwin Stevenson to Tracy Barnes, "Everything going well!" to mean that ASK knew all about Bay Pip.
- 48 Hunt is against others who stayed with Castro, but not Murocardona.
- 50 Footnote irrelevant, self-puffing. Like Portchartrain "amphibiousness" Ref. to Adolph Berke & his Latin-American experience, but fails to state what it was - overthrown in Brazil.
- 53 For his suggestion that US air support was assured he cites no authority & there was none. On his part he says that (above) is why he didn't do it.
- 55 Note unidentified mission he had known in earlier years from now!
- 56 Bottom: He tells lies to his own superiors about Bay
- 60 Despite all the preparations they had made none for refueling the planes to the moment of the invasion!
- 61 Continuumy cut - Kennedy person never mentions his name. Always The New Frontier note his total omission of the crazy & unsuccessful air strike of the week before to its self-known work.

2) He has it on 63, I think out of sequence, after the start of the
revision from Mecklenburg