Tape Saving Was Advised laldema

By Lawrence Meyer Washington Post Staff Writer

Former White House' chief, what's happened, that it was a of staff H. R. (Bob) Haldeman disastrous thing to have done. said he gave President Nixon But what's happened is a total a "strong recommendation" perversion of what was being against destroying the White done. What was being done House tape recordings when was the production of the tape Nixon raised the matter as the for the President's own use, Watergate cover-up was un- for confidential use." folding.

Now, Haldeman said, he feels the tapes should have played a key role in forcing been destroyed.

view, he commented: "... I tion-had been made public at never-stupidly-didn't really the Senate Watergate committhink the thing through ... tee hearings in July, 1973. nor did I think through the enormous damage that would that the existence of the tapes be done to me and to Richard Nixon and to all the other mean that seems a little participants . .

man at least \$25,000 for inter- wasn't within the range of alviews that are to be aired in ternatives of what might haptwo one-hour segments today pen in my mind." and next Sunday.

viction for conspiracy, perjury man said, in response to a and obstruction of justice af-question: "I did not love Richter being sentenced to a mini- ard Nixon. I do not love Richmum of 21/2 years in prison, ard Nixon. I have enormous steadfastly maintained his in- respect for Richard Nixon." nocence while admitting to a "woeful lack of perception as Nixon is "weird in the sense the (Watergate) case devel of inexplicable, strange, hard oped." He said he "totally to understand." failed to perceive Watergate as a matter of major potential danger or of major presiden- they talk "some" about Watertial concern."

Haldeman said that at one point, as the Watergate coverup was unravelling, Nixon raised the question of whether the tapes should be destroyed.

"And my strong recommendation was that they should not be destroyed," Haldeman said. "Now this is before anybody knew that they existed, except the technicians and the President and me."

Haldeman, who said his position on destroying the tapes "was another one of my errors in judgment" in Watergate, said he reasoned at the time that the tapes "shouldn't be destroyed because they were enormously valuable' - of "historical value." 16

In addition, he said, "I thought they would be valuable to the President in knowing what had actually been said at various meetings in his office.

"Had I thought it through," Haldeman continued, "I would have realized that as a practical matter, that the release of the tapes was not good."

Regarding the taping from a moral point of view, Haldeman said, "I would say that given what we now know, and

Haldeman said he was astonished to learn that the existence of the tapes-which Nixons resignation and bring-In a CBS TV (WTOP) inter- ing about Haldeman's convic-

"I had never really thought would be known," he said. "I dense, I guess today. But I CBS reportedly paid Halde-really hadn't. It just - that

Although Haldeman was The former White House widely regarded as being the aide, who is appealing his con- man closest to Nixon, Halde-

Haldeman commented that

He said he talks "very occasionally' with Nixon and that gate.

"He doesn't have much to say," Haldeman said of Nixon. "There isn't much for him and I-him and me-to say about Watergate. He knows what I know and I know what he knows. And there isn't ... there isn't much to add or subtract from that.'