Secret Fund Tied to Intelligence Use

By Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward Washington Post Staff Writers

top campaign officials each G. Klein. Porter is a former is "absolutely untrue" that he withdrew more than \$50,000 staff assistant to President received any such funds. "I from a secret fund that fi- Nixon. nanced intelligence gathering activities against the Demo- withdrawn by Magruder and crats, according to sources Porter, more than \$50,000 was ernment investigators had been about the Democrats — and close to the Watergate investi- withdrawn from the same se- told that he received \$50,000 totaled well in excess of \$350,-

the Re-election of the Presi- among seven men indicted by phone interview. dent, and Herbert L. Porter, the federal grand jury Friday committee.

succeeded by former Attorney tee inside the Watergate. General John N. Mitchell, Ma- There is no indication that 000 from the fund.

President Nixon's re-election drawn by Porter and Magrud-lany use of cash funds by Nixdeputy to White House com- the Watergate bugging. Two of President Nixon's munications director Herbert

In addition to the money The two officials, both for the former finance counsel of tioned about it, but it was dis-

gruder was acting manager of the money allegedly with- Moore went on to say that See WATERGATE, A4, Col. 1

campaign. Previously, he was er was used directly to finance on committee officials has

Magruder said yesterday it and proper purposes. only received my salary and expense account," he said.

He acknowledged that goveret fund by G. Gordon Liddy, from this fund. "I was ques- 000, according to sources. mer White House aides, are the Nixon re-election commit-carded . . . and agreed by all direct tie to the bugging, the Jeb Stuart Magruder, deputy tee and former White House parties that it is incorrect," withdrawals indicate that top director of the Committee for aide, sources said. Liddy was Magruder said in a tele-officials of the Nixon cam-

scheduling director of the on charges of conspiring to man, Powell Moore, said Por-widespread intelligence-gathbug the headquarters of the ter could not be reached for ering operations against the Until April 1, when he was Democratic National Commit-comment on the report that he Democrats. also received in excess of \$50,-

been and is only for legal

The fund from which Liddy, Magruder and Porter withdrew the money was earmarked for especially sensitive political projects - including gathering information

Although there is no known paign were deeply involved A Nixon committee spokes- in at least the financing of

> Meanwhile, it was learned that the Nixon committee of-

WATERGATE, From A1

ficial assigned to disburse money from the secret fundformer campaign treasurer Hugh W. Sloan Jr.-resigned his post after the Watergate break-in because he "wanted no part of what he then knew was going on," in the words of one source.

The only record of the secret fund-a single sheet of lined ledger paper listing the names of about 15 people with access to the money and the amount each received-was destroyed by Nixon committee officials about April 7, according to sources. On that date the new federal campaign finance law, which requires detailed accounting of all election funds, took effect.

About the same time, sources reported, Nixon committee officials also destroyed between five and seven ledger books, each about 11/2 inches thick, listing all campaign contributions received before April 7, and the names of the donors.

The updated single-sheet accounting of the secret fundalong with the money-was kept in a safe in the office of former Secretary of Com-merce Maurice H. Stans, the finance chairman of the Nixon campaign, the sources said.

The job of distributing the money and keeping a record of the transactions was assigned to committe treasurer Sloan, who cited "personal reasons" when he resigned July 14.

After the Watergate breakin of June 17, the sources said. Sloan said he didn't like what he saw going on and submitted his resignation.

Chief fund-raiser Stans "begged him to stay, but he in an Aug. 26 report as a violacouldn't beg hard enough," ac- tion of the new campaign dis- in a bank account May 25cording to one source. Stans closure law. could not be reached for comment. When Sloan resigned, gative arm of Congress, said Stans issued a statement say- the Nixon committee failed to ing Sloan was leaving for "personal reasons."

Sloan "left because he . . didn't want anything to do with it," one source said. "His wife was going to leave him if he didn't stand up for what was right."

Sloan has refused to discuss the matter with reporters.

From various sources, including federal investigators and Nixon campaign officials and employees, the following picture of the secret fund has emerged:

It was established early in the Nixon campaign, probably in 1971, and immediately separated from all other accounting operations within the finance division of the Committee for the Re-election of the President. Though physically in the finance division, the fund was controlled by the political side of the re-election committee headed by former Attorney General Mitchell.

"Very few people knew about it," said one source, identifying them as "the 15 or so people on the list (legersheet), John Mitchell's assistants, Hugh Sloan, Maurice Stans and one person who made the computations on an adding machine."

Among those who knew of the fund, sorces said, it was common knowledge that the money was to be used for sensitive political projects that Nixon campaign officials wanted to remain secret.

The secret fund is presumably the same one that the General Accounting Office cited

Putting the sum at \$350,000, the GAO, which is the investipenditures from the fund.

The \$350,000 was deposited more than six weeks after the new campaign disclosure took effect April 7, the GAO said.

The GAO said receipts and expenditures from the fund probably contained more than make the required public dis- should have instead been pub- \$500,000. The \$350,000 apparclosure of receipts and ex- licly disclosed beginning April ently is the amount of money

According to sources, the amount of money in the secret fund was changing constantly because of withdrawals and deposits and at one point that was left on May 25.