

EH 7/30/73

Bate's views question was "in the interest of peace"

They? His 1st to see notes 7/13/73 & C (Lion) + Stepin "at home's request"
Hunt - 2/22 morning Monday would be talking very soon
Hunt to Don Post - speaks in independent - when speaking from Parliament
B. Brown to Linton

McLend strikes: Hunt, in end, perhaps Luddy, may be on Dinges - Green -

Spain story
③ another set of photos: Luddy leaving. Hunt appeared as stopped but Luddy has
"had to go ahead" - please note to Nelson

④ Marshall HM Luddy forward letter packet

⑤ Hunt would tell - by to appear - Mickey
⑥ Rumors in 2 New Special press independent Marshall / Hunt
a man received letter in at camp for Hunt & Marshall's copy
reports to France to Marshall, copy. Summary given as to Linton only

⑦ Hunt own photo set as EH in photo copy held at day near "6/7"

Who to in in on the left page "was what Stepin said" -
very well "said" - finally very useful & this page to in 1961, the top.

EH did not get 9-9 ELL's break-in when EH learned of it. Not in person -
enough to take his attention

⑧ Hunt in the line "was I ahead 73 show - map with Marshall

⑨ Hunt in the line "was I ahead 73 show - map with Marshall

to set up back office
Gurney 20 After 4/15 - Patterson knows 9/4 "evidence" a big

meeting, personally "on 9/19 first thing in P order the
Pete. to be was to give Mr. Holloman the " - 6/20 meeting of
advice for witnesses, Eln says when needed the protection
the President's legal & p.r. decisions when the Pao's own staff
was involved. "That way he was protecting up from the prosecuting
attorney" is what led Pao to escape 5/1 to give Earl Holliday
back Pao on the McCall was if the prosecution use for what with him.
"In the interest of time" Gurney but that "Am not going to take my

space 10 minutes. 4/18/73
Murray? in 9/10 statements of meeting "new in question" before in my 3/21/73
Pao & who for "time"

Warden 70 Finer from Byrne could take to FBI & is ok? change one
on last of the Harris death the Pao "richly involved" with 2/25/73
although they "clin on commitment" down section

2)

Taped Eha: "Dear John in re: case. From 3/6/83 with memo with Ch. Trib. -
"Some 20 days after "the 'innocents'". Or almost any rejection by them.

"The two appointments the Gregy memorandum & how but how especially
from the beginning... no change..."

Her "past record" & stresses "why", who then, "for John Brown
her John E.H. / whom" John, left off another subject.

with Kuhn as normal no he can understand - clear privilege,
why "in extreme, long sleep, and why emit in evidence?"

The whole "fund" - "by day, ~~was~~ was the making it possible for
Kuhn, to talk.

Enc. Only Kuhn with comment on a few Leggett's bank-money. all
deposits Ben here in 20 or at 11:25 Bank "He" in cut of you

statements and "in fact it was" days for natural accuracy" "first time",
ground pins differently broken, swollen. g. from earlier witnesses" "Roll.

Why the way to London? "Ellis-Anderson" to break-in.

But + Halberstam had been informed that it was illegal? Eha says
Kurtin memo on "no record" seems only. "Doubt says" "An unfortunate

A notebook bearing "All up a natural scenery Xid at in Uby
in Mend. When spots to paper fossils are what Comstock & Key
was across on h "natural scenery" both recall the service
one of the long-in "is dead village." When spots & scenery
not fossils. They appear to show E. h. from among them. On
at Wilson, E. h., Robert Thompson & E. h. E. h. Evans, Amundsen
Amundsen. E. h. Key, finally. "but recall" GL Ald 14 good
Robert Thompson & E. h. Key. "but recall" GL Ald 14 good
of the most of the "natural scenery" - in the "hills" with the "hills" on the
on beyond of the hills himself & his wife Amundsen, at the expense
of the "hills".

When heard the words of the "hills" recall the
6/10 E. h. notes & with the "hills" 9/25 Amundsen, Amundsen
When heard the words of the "hills" recall the
what was the words of the "hills" recall the
notes say "fossil work" "the hills" & the "hills" of the
to in the hills.

3) ~~Not~~ ~~not~~ ~~there~~, met 9/12/2009 9/23 met with Hesterman, Hester
& Hester.

Hester spoke from 6/19, then said Ehn 6/21, after she saw my
version of paper. Did not tell Ehn of paper either.

Filled my report in 6/20/09. "For the time being keep dear the
from Dear born had the been that Ehn had the been to
'Deep six' the had case." Ehn says this is private.

Summary of the Ehn - Channon call on this subject. Con-
cludes why not to read. 4/18 Channon says "I'll

not try to say anything here because we do - also Ehn.

4/17 call to Ehn said "when?" "great question" "never said

when said in a paragraph in the free-press and computer &

Ehn is embarrassed, Robert has left to take a break,

although it had delayed nothing. Channon has said a) he

has not seen it b) has no recollection. When Robert says how

break he means as Ehn says, Ehn is embarrassed &

has spread on immaturity.

John John Park is comparing Ehn with other states

from statements & it is necessary to Ehn. Robert

was in apt 1 after Ehn has a chance for confirmation, but it

was

7/30/73 E hr p. 10. Don? got members. E hr is sometimes heavy. E hr means in
control in all of this + providing testimony E hr makes a reasonable attempt
of the nature of his own + see when testimony - it says when he doesn't want to
Donson that, Portland, on way to meet pop. P. N. in Hawaii. Ray "the
Red some time" but see "he has no right of weight not to leave
with Mangel base" Segrett, There else. Do sh drops thoroughly

When first seen "Don" was making a. stem only."
No name with S I "in July 1972" Reason. to criticise or "
Oct 2/10/73 Le Gorka Party "all story parties" (see discussion)
EX pedes "Partisan" Committee, add E hr in (Report Members?)
What he can know? What he is Don? "would say to-and-fo" unless
"he was paid. What would tell?" I took this - to mean the only
break - in. "seems limited by language to what E hr was connected
with which can hardly be reprehensible is clear error - in detail)
E hr in support of Don. was on the - page 6111

11/11/1938. Chas. G. audience experience to Philip 402
Film hours "a few but plenty of reviews"
Did not go to see my wife of my review not with her
At Motion - but in describing his notes he writes "I" my
Melliston "of what was discussed. In Paris he says "and you
of long length" pretty much that "but culture" & in criticism
~~of the review~~ review when is "journalism" / Film in "the review" of it -
revising, mending & started with criticism of

9/30/73 When made a big thing of court and attorney of son -
but I met in his part in agreement with Egan & Hattie
Haldeman, the guest in not being over fast, when he said he
had not seen his best son in an extreme work field,
nobody knows in both men have heard in all not on all details
or what questions would be asked, he still not knowing the guest was,
there is said for me no certainty. But in addition, my son,
was to warn for his company, too. There remains, Ben, the question
of a conflict between J & H & E. However, there also
remains the question, for what & the committee should
any conflict exist? Haldeman would Ben have the need
of engaging new people who could not possibly be in
all the part of law he had to turn to give Haldeman considered
& thorough research done.
As a part of some part when made a mistake
statements not in his notes? Did not when Ben
also have a conflict?