Archibald Cox, special Watergate prosecutor, telling reporters that he would subpoena the Presidential tapes.

## Special Prosecutor, Faced

By ANTHONY RIPLEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 23 - ence in the next few days. Special Prosecutor Archibald "There are other pending re-Watergate scandals, ran into a in front of you."
block today. He did not resign.
He went to count He went to court.

meeting with newsmen that he other details. would seek to subpoena tape been seeking other documents, asked the question. presumably without success,

and would comment further on the matter at a news confer-

Cox, who said last May 21 that quests for information from the he would either resign or seek White House," he said, adding "some public recourse" if any that he would discuss those reone attempted to block his quests "when we're in a better criminal investigation of the position to put the whole thing

Mr. Cox did not say who would be the target of his sub-Mr. Cox announced at a brief poenas, nor did he add any

He said he would try to rerecordings of eight specific solve the problem through the White House conversations he legal process. Mr. Cox added, considered important to the "Of course, I'm not going to case. He also said that he had resign," although no one had

Before he read his brief

TUESDAY, JULY 24, 1973

## With Move to Block Inquiry, to Subpoena Tapes

statement, the prosecutor em-said the matter involved execu-dent has concluded that it would you resign?" phasized three points in his tive privilege based on the July 18 letter to J. Fred Buzhardt, counsel to President Congress.

Last May 21, during Senate Involved cache in the second that in the serve the public interest to make the tapes available," the professor said.

Congress.

Last May 21, during Senate

Nixon.

Those points were that the requests for tapes were part of an investigation into "serious criminal misconduct," that such a request for information on possible charges of criminal conspiracy would set no damlers and the reduction of those communications public.

Last May 21, during Senate hearings on the confirmation of Elliot L. Richardson as Attorney General, Mr. Cox spoke of executive privilege and the possibility that someone might try to block his investigation into the Watergate scandals.

Signtest importance, yes unless you are talking simply about the budgetary matter.

"But if I thought it was a matter of the conduct of the utive privilege and the possibility that someone might try to block his investigation into the Watergate scandals. conspiracy would set no damaging precedents and that he

In turning down Mr. Box, vestigation or preserving the Charles Alan Wright, a University of Texas law professor communications, Mr. Wright West Virginia, questioned him so that I was able to do what who is serving as a \$125-a-|continued. day White House consulltant,

go solely to a grand jury in better served by witholding in-Cox said at that time. "It is not secret."

aging precedents and that he would be willing to have them whether the public interest is particularly in this matter," Mr. course.

continued. at the time asking, "If you were I thought my responsibility re"In this intance, the Presi- overruled by [Mr. Richardson], quired of me."

Mr. Cox answered:

"If it were a matter of the slightest importance, ves

Vatergate scandals.

"I take a dim view of the significant, well, I would either

"I'm not sure that I would be prepared to confine myself