A 18 Thursday, April 5, 1973 THE WASHINGTON POST

## Hired Hrom 1st

In Seven Years,

apparently was forced to leave overstatement." counsel to President Nixon, wrote that it was perhaps "ai John W. Dean III, chief legal making the charge, Welck 1966 for what the head of his firm followed an apparent dis his first job as an attorney in published today, says that noted that two years after Jack Anderson, in a column | Welch and Morgan. Andersor | The letter in defense of became aware of their plans...

conduct." Anderson cited a law firm termed "unethical pute with Welch over applice Commission form in which the were partners in one UHF charge was made. confidential 1967 Civil Service St. Louis. Welch and Morgan tions for TV station licenses ir Welch said only "no com- tion in St. Louis, which would

that defended Dean. an attorney, written in 1969,

The unethical conduct day about Dean's departure with the state of the working there in February, were attempting to establish ly formed yet."
B. Welch, senior partner in 1966, after working there six there. The management exB. Welch himself, in a late 1968 associate of the Welch and letter to the Civil Service ComMorgan firm—without informWe himself. The management exWe himself. The manageme Charge was made. Dean would make no com-discussing with others the pos ment but the White House. sibility of taking part in a ri westerday released a letter by val TV station. Morgan had discussed with him setting up a UHF-TV sta-Georgetown University Law that, in addition to what was Welch said only "no com- tion in St. Louis, which would School the previous June), and printed in the column, "It is ment" when contacted yester- be a rival to a UHF (channel his ideas of what was expectean was written by an attor-ley involved in the TV license told by John (Dean) what he pplications, Earl R. Stanley, was planning to do . . . "There nd was sent to a friend of was an argument, bitterness... lows had planned to leave the Mr. Dean which my (original) was caught with the applica-Welch and Morgan firm be characterization may have ..." tion for himself and his abruptly at the same time as from a Dean, according to former at from law ture, left Welch and Morgan densities accerized Dean's and that both Dean and Felcussions were not unethical torneys with the firm. Jean and a television man-the attorney said, In the letter, Stanley said Dean was appointed associate agreeable resignation or an Jean's, attorney Edward anuary, 1969, a month before John's leaving as a mutually-'aptich. Stanley wrote that such dis-associate's activities." He said It was written in sure you would characterize P. and Dean left the firm I'm not over law firm policies regarddeparture "as having resulted from a basic disagreement ing the nature and scope of an outright dismissal." In recalling the 1966 dispute,

rival station. He praised Dean with the Welch-Morgan firm as an "extremely honorable, said "all departures from conscientious, careful and able Welch-Morgan are hasty .... nizant of the implications for matic confrontation after Dean he was writing the letter "cog- members said there was a draed of him were not complete-lue formad vat? Another former attorney friends." from Welch-Morgan barment purposes. The firm taking the matter before a partners actually considered ing other members of the firm. "Former members said the gled through grievance committee for dis-As for the TV stations, the ketchup. cans of soft drinks. cans of beer. consumed: can GIs in Vietnam 1966 through 1972, Vietnam Gls... 2.2 billion bottles and 98 million pounds of In the seven years from 2.4 billion bottles and United Press International six years venture strugpostponements the of

terday that "somehow Welch | Late yesterday, Anderson's |air. Welch-Morgan firm said yes-|Welch to get mad at someone."|out ever having gone on the A former member of the mine was hasty and I quit. And changes and then it's not uncommon for and finally died in 1971, with-

fore taking active roles in the