Richardson Shifts Inquiry Into I.T.T. Merger to Cox

Attorney General Explains To Eastland That the Antitrust Case Has Begun to Overlap With Watergate

By E. W. KENWORTHY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 8-At-|begun to overlap with the he was shifting to Archibald jects for interview." Cox, the special Watergate This was apparently a referprosecutor, authority to inves-ence to the fact that many tigate all the ramifications of Government figures under inthe merger of the International vestigation in the Watergate Telephone and Telegraph Cor-affair met with I.T.T. officers poration with the Hartford Fire prior to a consent decree issued Insurance Company.

tion would be to seek any evi-conglomerate. dence of perjury and obstruction of justice on the part of Ervin Jr. announced that the I. T. T. and Government offi-Senate Watergate Committee,

O. Eastland, Democrat of Mis-clude the burglary of Dr. Daniel sissippi who is chairman of the Ellsberg's former psychiatrist Senate Judiciary Committee, in September, 1971. Mr. Richardson said he was giving Mr. Cox this authority

torney General Elliott L. Rich-Watergate investigation, parardson announced today that ticularly in the area of sub-

on July 31, 1971, in an antitrust The object of the investiga-case against the international

Meanwhile, Senator Sam J. of which he is chairman, would In a letter to Senator James expand its investigation to in-

Under the consent decree of

because "the I.T.T. inquiry has Continued on Page 14, Column 4

product of another investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission following the 1971 consent decree. The S.E.C. inquiry was into possible viiolations of law and regulations by I.T.T. in connection with the Hartford merger. to keep the \$1.5-billion Hartford Fire Insurance Company.

investigations in the Depart-Beard memo, as Stanley Sporment of Justice.

Possible Perjury Studied

The first is an inquiry into of the Anderson column. possible perjury by I.T.T. officers and Government officials

Joseph H. Flom, an I.T.T. at-

cers and Government officials during the second hearings in March and April, 1972, on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst to be Attorney General.

The hearings were resumed at Mr. Kleindienst's request after Jack Anderson, the syndicated columnist, printed on Feb. 29, 1972, a memo by Dita D. Beard, I.T.T.'s Washington lobbyist, to her chief, William R. Merriam, I.T.T. vice president for Washington operations. The memo, written June 25, 1971, suggested that the company would get a favorable settlement of the Hartford antitrust suit in return for a pledge of \$400,000 to the Republican Presidential convention that the company would set a favorable settlement of the Hartford antitrust suit in return for a pledge of \$400,000 to the Republican Presidential convention that the corney, whether any other documents had been withheld. Mr. Flom delivered 13 of them. They were interoffice memos and letters from I.T.T. to Administration officials, dealing with meetings on Government antitrust suits against the corporation in particular.

Last October the S.E.C. sent the 34 boxes and the envelope containing the 13 "politically sensitive" documents to the Justice Department to keep them out of the hands of the House Commerce Subcommittee on Investigations.

Although R. Erickson, of \$400,000 to the Republican Although Ralph E. Erickson, Presidential planned for San Diego.

Justice Department to examine tion into possible perjury in the the 1,700-page hearing record Kleindienst hearings, he finally for evidence of perjury.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 Justice Department was a byproduct of another investiga-

The S.E.C. subpoenaed I.T.T. files, but the documents deliv-This decree has set off two ered did not include the Dita kin, the commission's deputy director of enforcement, discovered following the publication

convention then then Deputy Attorney General, told William J. Casey, the S.E.C. On June 30, 1972, the Senate chairman, that Justice did not Judiciary Committee asked the need the files for its investigaagreed to accept them when The second inquiry by the Mr. Casey said they might form

the basis for a charge of ob-vestigation: John N. Mitchell struction of justice.

Mr. Casey indicated, might be, chief of staff; John D. Ehrlich-first, that I.T.T. on its own admission had shredded some of man, former White House chief its files following disclosure of domestic adviser; former Secrethe Dita Beard memo, and, sec-tary of Commerce Maurice H ond, the initial withholding of Stans and Charles W. Colson. some "politically sensitive" former special counsel to the documents raised the question Reuben Robertson, a lawyer of whether other documents working with Ralph Nader, the had been withheld.

the view of the senate judiciary comed Mr. Richardson's action. Committee and the House Commerce subcommittee, has not move," he said. "The Justice Committee and the House Combeen very aggressive in the two Department was going to sit on investigations. Committee and this egg until it rotted. The staff members point to a state-ment by L. Patrick Gray 3d, vitality today." during hearings on his nomination to be director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that the F.B.I. did not get orders to conduct interviews until last Dec. 5.

In any event, Mr. Richardson has now directed that the responsibility for the investigation of perjury in the Kleindienst hearings and of obstruction of justice shall be taken quests of the Senate Judiciary over by Mr. Cox.

The 34 boxes of I.T.T. files merce subcommittee to inspect and the 13 sensitive documents the subpoenaed documents. will be transferred to Mr. Cox's Edward J. Gerrity Jr., I.T.T.s office, and some of his staff senior vice president for corp-

tion any names, but the follow-questioned by the F.B.I. several ing Administration officials who months ago. Some of the quesmet with I.T.T. officers prior tions related to the S.E.C. case, to the consent decree have also he said, and others to the Senat

former Attorney General; H. R The basis of such a charge, Haldeman, former White House

consumer advocate, who has The Justice Department, in made a specialty of I.T.T., wel-

Meanwhile, the Project on Corporate Responsibility filed suit in Federal District Court here today seeking an order to require the Justice Department to permit public access to the I.T.T. documents under the Freedom of Information Act.

First the S.E.C. and later the Justice Department rejected re-Committee and the House Com-

attorneys will begin going orate relations, said in response through them next week, according to his office.

The Picked and the said in the company's of-Mr. Richardson did not men-ficials, including himself, were figured in the Watergate in Judiciary Committee hearings.