## M'CORD REJECTED LENIENCY OFFERS

Prosecutor Says He Refused Deals to Give Data and Face Lesser Charge

By SEYMOUR M. HERSH

Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, March 26-The chief prosecutor in the Watergate trial disclosed tonight that James W. McCord Jr., one of those convicted, had twice turned down government offers of reduced charges in return for becoming a state's witness in the case.

The prosecutor, Earl J. Silbert, principal assistant United States attorney, declared that he was baffled by McCord's decision to start talking now to the select Senate committee investigating the case. "I can't figure it out," Mr. Silbert said.

The first offer, Mr. Silbert a said, was made in October and would have resulted in the dropping of seven of the eight charges against McCord - including wiretapping and burglary counts-in return for a guilty plea to conspiracy charges and a promise to name all of the officials involved in the June 17 bugging of the Democratic National Committee headquarters in Washington.

Mr. Silbert, obviously stung by the growing criticism of his prosecution of the case, said; that the October offer-conveyed to attorneys for McCord -had been conditional on his acceptance by early November, before the 1972 Presidential elections.

"We tried to break this case Continued on Page 36, Column 3

Continued From Page 1, Col. 2

the prosecutor said.

Both Mr. Silbert and his chief aide, Seymour Glanzer, said McCord. they were surprised when Mc-

to reduce the charges to con- him and tell the truth." spiracy, reducing his ultimate exposure from a maximum of 40 years in prison to a maxiThe prosecutor explained that them during the investigation mum of five," Mr. Glanzer said, he and his colleagues had sin- and trial to link other officials than 20 months in prison upon men caught inside the Demo-knowledge of the Democratic count.

Six of seven men indicted in spiratorial hierarchy." the bugging case were sentenced tentatively on Friday to bert said in an interview, "was with John W. Dean 3d—was resentencing of McCord after he there was going to be any reve-States attorney's office said, indicated that he would supply lations before then." the authorities with more infor-

McCord's two attorneys, Ger-volved in the Watergate conopen, within bounds of legal man, who conveyed the offer, logs of overheard telephone propriety, before the elections," were said to have advised their calls inside the Democratic client to accept it. A second party offices. prosecution offer, made in January, was also rejected by gate trial had described Mc-

Cord turned down the October during the trial Judge Sirica and logs stemming from the ilrepeatedly urged the defendants legal telephone tap there. "We were ultimately willing and their attorneys "to come to

## Highest in Hierarchy

He added that McCord could gled out McCord for the offer of the Committee for the Rehave expected to serve no more of a deal because, of the five Election of the President with conviction of the conspiracy cratic headquarters, he obvious- party telephone tap. ly was "the highest in the con-

maximum terms ranging from that since the trial was not portedly named by McCord as 20 to 40 years, but Chief Judge going to be held before the knowing in advance of the John J. Sirica of the Federal November election, we'd have Watergate operations. District Court here postponed to make an offer to McCord if

which other persons were in-conspiracy charge.

ald Alch and Bernard Shank-spiracy and who received the

Witnesses during the Water-Cord as the official who han-Mr. Silbert also noted that dled the flow of transcriptions

> Both Mr. Silbert and Mr. Glanzer emphasized during the telephone interview that there was no evidence brought before

They refused to discuss any possible involvement of Jeb

Other sources in the United however, that if Mr. Magruder Under the proposed agree-had known of and authorized mation about the case.

"We gave him an offer he couldn't refuse," Mr. Silbert said, "and he did."

"Me gave him an offer he couldn't refuse," Mr. Silbert which other persons were in considered to tell the Government which other persons were in considered to the money for the Watergate operation that would have been enough to include him in the considered to the conside