Read the p. 1 part of today's Deen story with my egg. Before getting to the jump, I note the WH denial. It mentions a "similar" NTYimes story. Unless therr is something essential in the Times, don't go to the bother of copying any of it, thanks. My analysis in advance of getting the paper, in the letter to Dick, was in some ways wrong, perhaps inadequate would be better. There is confirmation that there is more than enough for indictment in hand. (No explanation of no indictment) The committee has a sked for use immunity. Dean eems to be asking for transactional immunity. This story seems designed for the purposes I noted, pressure in his staffish interest. It is his only chance, esp. if he is in competition with Mitchell for the break. And what is indicated on p. 1 is very far from all Dean should know and have. Because it is clear that there wasn't a good lawyer in the entire WH, believe it or not, he would have been consulted aside from setting him up because he was the President's counsl. In setting him up he also had to be "in". Probably from the first the plan waskto use him as the dog to throw to the wolves to save the rest of the troika, GL and the Nazi Mafia. HW 6/3/73