MITCHELL LINKED TO \$199,000 FUND

Watergate Witness Asserts
He and Stans Approved
of Payments to Liddy

By WALTER RUGABER
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 23 — A witness said today that \$199,000 in cash payments to a defendant in the Watergate trial had been approved by former Attorney General John N. Mitchell and former Commerce Secretary Maurice H. Stans.

The money was said to have gone to G. Gordon Liddy, an attorney for President Nixon's campaign organization last year who had been assigned to establish what another witness described as "an intelligence gathering operation."

For the second consecutive day, Chief Judge John J. Sirica indicated dissatisfaction with the prosecution's examination of a witness, excused the jury, and posed a series of questions himself.

It was the United States District Court judge who elicited from Hugh W. Sloan Jr., former treasurer of the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President, the names of Mr. Nixon's two former Cabinet officers.

Mr. Sloan told Judge Sirica that he himself was unaware of what Mr. Liddy had done with the money, and he gave no indication that either Mr.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 1

Mitchell or Mr. Stans knew the reason for the disbursements.

Mr. Sloan maintained under the judge's sometimes increduling that no one had even "indicated" how the \$199,000 in campaign funds was to be used. This exchange with Judge Sirica followed:

• Q. Now this is a pretty goodsized piece of money. A. In and of itself, but not in the context of the campaign.

Q. You didn't question Mr.

[Jeb Stuart] Magruder [a deputy campaign director] about the purpose of the \$199,000?

A. No, sir. I verified with Mr. Stans and Mr. Mitchell he [Ma-



United Press International

Magruder, a

deputy campaign director

for President Nixon, out
ide court in Washington

yesterday.

ruder] was authorized to make those [payments to Mr. Liddy].
At a later point, Mr. Sloan explained that he had talked directly with Mr. Stans about the payments and that it was Mr. Stans who had then "verified" with Mr. Mitchell that they could be made.

Three other officials of Mr. Nixon's political apparatus testified today. They were Mr. Magruder, Robert C. Odle Jr., the director of administration, and Herbert L. Porter, the director of scheduling.

The Government in outlining its case to the jury two weeks ago, had given no indication that the financial transactions with Mr. Liddy involved officials with higher rank than those summoned today.

Neither Mr. Mitchell, who was the President's campaign manager until his resignation on July 1, nor Mr. Stans, who served as Mr. Nixon's fundraising chief throughout the campaign, have been subpoenaed for the trial.

Mr. Sloan, under direct examination by Earl J. Silbert the principal assistant United States attorney here, described an encounter with Mr. Liddy at the re-election committee

offices of the afternoon of June 17.

Fiive men had been arrested early that morning at the head-quarters of the Democratic National Committee. One of the five was James W. McCord Jr., a defendant in the trial who was security chief for the Nixon campaign organization until his arrest.

'Boys Got Caught'

"My boys got caught last night," Mr. Liddy was quoted as having said to Mr. Sloan. "I made a mistake. I used somebody from here, which I said I would never do. I'll probably lose my job."

The reference to "somebody from here" apparently meant Mr. McCord, whose attorney has acknowledged his client's

role in the break-in. Mr. Liddy was in fact dismised by the Nixon committee later in June.

The judge alo took up Mr. Sloan's "claim" that he did not know what Mr. Liddy had meant and asked, "You did'nt see anything wrong with that remark?" The witness said he had not "at that time."

Mr. Sloan told Judge Sirica that he began to have suspicions when he read reports of the break-in, and later Mr. Silbert also drew the remark from the witness that "possibilities

came to mind" when the witness read the afternoon newspapers.

Mr. Magruder, who preceded Mr. Sloan on the witness stand, said the Nixon organization had budgeted about \$235,000 for an intelligence operation, assigned to Mr. Liddy, which had two main purposes.

To Learn Radicals' Plans

The first, he said, was to learn the plans of radical groups that might disrupt political rallies for Mr. Nixon's leading sup-

"did not want teh same type of nomination—was reported to He said he had one emphascenes on television" that appeared in 1968 when the Democratic convention was marred said. by violence.

porters and that might "possi-Mr. Silbert inquired about only no further discussion of the bly body harm" the Presidential one, and Mr. Magruder outlined effort by the witness.

Mr. Magruder testified that Mr. Liddy to "see if there was not pursue it. there had been a number of any more to it than we read other intelligence assignments. in the newspapers." There was

surrogates.

The second, Mr. Magruder outlined of the re-election committee said he had instructed said, was to discover the intentions of demonstrators at the semblican National Convention. The Nixon forces, he added, for the Democratic Presidential re-election difficult."

The witness.

The onetime second-ranking of the re-election committee said he had instructed stand—pre-tall its employes not to do anything "embarrassing or illegal and aspirant that could make the President's did not want teh same type of nomination—was reported to the said he had one complete to the president of the re-election committee said he had instructed and the president of the pre

said. we had discussed." He did not Mr. Magruder said he asked elaborate and Mr. Silbert did

REMEMBER THE NEEDIEST!