Flanigan Nomination Sparks Hill Clash

Associated Press

Sen. Eagleton: Nomination of Flanagan, left, is "a disgrace to the United States."

By Laurence Stern
Washington Post Staff Writer

Former White House aide Peter M. Flanigan acknowledged yesterday that he singled out a wealthy New Hampshire businesswoman to Nixon fund-raisers as "a good prospect for solicitation" in 1972 before she was named ambassador to Luxembourg.

But the unflappable Flanigan denied during a stormy nomination hearing before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee that he ever bartered ambassadorships for contributions during his five-year tenure as a high-ranking aide to former President Nixon.

Flanigan was responding to charges that emerged during an extraordinary personal confrontation with Sen. Thomas F. Eagleton (D-Mo.), who denounced the Nixon nomination of Flanigan as ambassador to Spain as "a disgrace to the United States."

The ambassadorships-for-sale controversy arose when Dr. Ruth Farkas gave \$300,000 to the Nixon re-election campaign in 1972 and was nominated to the Luxembourg post early in 1973.

In attacking Flanigan's qualifications for the Madrid post, Eagleton recited the sworn testimony of former Nixon attorney Herbert W. Kalmbach, now serving a jail sentence.

Kalmbach testified to the House Judiciary Committee that Flanigan called him in July or August of 1971 and said, "Herb, we would like to

See FLANIGAN, A12, Col. 1

Flanigan Nomination Sparks Hill Clash

FLANIGAN, From A1

Farkas in New York. She is interested in giving \$250,000 for Costa Rica.'

Acting on Flanigan's in-figured. structions, Kalmbach said he met Dr. Farkas by arrangement with Rep. Louis C. Wyman (R-N.H.) and discussed the appointment with her. Kalmbach quoted Dr. Farkas as having objected at the gan," the Missouri Democrat the antitrust settlement, a other issues that Eagleton said rope, I think, and isn't \$250,000 bach who is in the penitenan awful lot of money for tiary for selling ambassador-Costa Rica?"

sion of the conversation and law." insisted that there was no connection between the Farkas after she upped her donation by \$50,000.

highly qualified candidate she special prosecutor's office. President's policies and a wellto-do woman. Therefore she tained by the special prosecuwas a good prospect for solicitation.'

Flanigan testified that he was instrumental in rejecting large financial contribution from J. Fife Symington and Vincent de Rouet proferred in exchange for promised European ambassadorial assignments.

He felt so strongly about the principle, Flanigan said, that he cold former White House chief of staff H. R. (Bob) Halderman. "Unless you tell me differently, I'm going in tell Herb to give their money back."

Sym.ngton and de Roulet each gave \$100,500 toward securing ambassadorships in Europe. There was no hint in Flanigan's testimony of who

Kalmbach went to jail after | Flanigan sat coolly behind holdings, asked him to contct bility for other Watergate in- nomination "would be to ig-

the discrepancies between the dor . . . demean the Senate" testimony of the two men, as well as their respective fates in the Watergate aftermath.

"It's Kalmbach versus Flaniships. It's Flanigan who is go-At yesterday's hearing Flan- ing to the posh coast of Spain. igan denied Kalmbach's ver- This is not equal justice under

bach be called before the com- textion Agency, among other contribution and her eventual mittee to give his version of matters. award of the Luxembourg job, the ambassador transactions. He also charged that Flanigan was doing the bidding of for-He did acknowledge, how- mer Nixon money-raiser Mau-ever, telling Kalmbach that rice H. Stans, currently under

> subpoena has been issued for ford Fire Insurance Co. long written bill of particulars activities in 1972.

"This case," said the senator from Missouri, "is very, very much alive."

since that post was awarded to antitrust chief Richard W. Mc Civil Service Committee in countered.

\$250,000 for a banana capublic. Hartford-UTT merger. She wanted Europe."

tionable intercessions with drop an ITT antitrust appeal. 50" chance of having his nomifederal agencies in behalf of friendly business interests timony yesterday, McLaren, mittee does approve him, a

ships. He escaped criminal lia- committee that to approve the him.' of Watergate...demean Eagleton leaned heavily on post of United States ambassa-

Eagleton cited cases in Committee. which Flanigan's name corps up — International Telephone aide sought to make a pointand Telegraph Corp. including by-point defense against the declared with heat. "It's Kalm- 1970 Treasury waiver involving a ship owned in part gy Flanigan; the award of a large Postal Service bond issue to not intervene in getting a Flanigan's former Wall Street Treasury Department waiver underwriting firm, settlement of two anti-pollution cases sinena, owned by a concern in Eagleton urged that Kalm- with the Environmental Pro-

recalled Flanigan's role in ob- security" grounds, would have Ramsden, a friend and former gage in domestic commerce. ever, telling Kalmbach that rice H. Stans, currently under colleague at the underwiting the waiver was canceled firm of Dillon Read and Co., for reasons of political who prepared a report that "embarrassment" when forpaved the way for dropping mer Sen. Joseph D. Tydings ords have recently been ob- Justice Department's efforts (D-Md.) criticized the Treasury to force the divestiture of action. tor under subpoena and a new ITT's \$2 billion-a-year Hart-

ernment economists Responding to Flanigan's available. Flanigan testified the Treasury. Rep. Morris K. defense that there was no deal that he sought Ramsden's Udall (D-Ariz.), in a report to with Dr. Farkas on Costa Rica services at the request of then the House Post Office and Eagleton Laren, who was appointed to a 1971, found that Flanigan, a federal judgeship after drop- former Dillon, Read vice presi-"She didn't want to pay hing his hattle against the dent, "has been involved

Flanigan and Ramsden en-volving the bond issue. The hearing opened with a toned the victure after Presiong written bill of particualrs dent Nixon called Attorney hearing, the private view of ead by Eagleton charging General Richard G. Klein-informed committee sources Flanigan with a series of ques- dienst and ordered him to was that Flanigan stood a "50-

ously for a breakup of ITT prospect.

pleading guilty to taking part nore the existence and lesson Ramsden because "McLaren have you contact a Dr. Ruth in the sale of ambassador- of Watergate . . . demean the didn't know where to find

> volvements in which his name nore the existance and lesson wrong with one member of "I submit that I see nothing the the executive asking another to help get a job done," Flanigan told the Foreign Relations

> > The former White House clouded his qualifications for the ambassadorial nomination:

 He maintained that he did for the Liberian ship Sanwhich Flanigan and his father were substantial stockholders The waiver, which Flanigan In the ITT case Eagleton said was issued on "national taining the services of Richard allowed the Sandinena to en-

• Flanigan said he was "in no way involved" in a Postal Eagleton questioned why Service decision to sell a \$250 the Justice Department had to million bond issue through go to an outside analyst when private underwriters, includthe services of numerous goving Dillon, Read and Co., were rather than selling them to discussions and meetings" in-

At the conclusion of the According to Flanigan's tes- nation approved. If the comhad made the commitment during his tenure as a White who had been pressing vigor certain floor fight is in