MAR 1 0 1973

Nixon Committee Returns \$655,000 to 3 Big Donors

Robert Allen, Texas Oilman, Confirms It Was His \$89,000 That Ended Up With Barker, a Watergate Defendant

NYTIMES By CHRISTOPHER LYDON AR 1 0 1973 Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 9— money, the re-election cam-President Nixon's re-election paign committee reported, it committee said today that it had \$4.7-million on hand at the had returned \$655,000 to three end of February, including big contributors, including \$246,000 in new contributions \$100,000 to Robert H. Allen, the made since the beginning of Texas oilman. Mr. Allen was this year.

the source of \$89,000 that passed through a Mexican bank to the leader of an alleged political espionage operation against the Democratic party headquarters here last June. Letters made public by the re-election committee today indicated that Nixon campaign lawyers had taken the initiative in returning Mr. Vesco's money, but that Mr. Allen and Mr. Dun-

The committee also an- can had asked for theirs—Mr. nounced that it had returned a Allen for "personal reasons" \$305,000 note to Walter T. and Mr. Duncan because of his Duncan, a financially troubled financial problems.

Texas land speculator who had borrowed money for large contributions to the Nixon campaign and earlier to the unsuccessful nomination drive of Senator Hubert H. Humphrey of Minnesota, a Democrat.

Further, the committee confirmed the return of \$250,000 to Robert L. Vesco, a principal defendant in the Securities and Exchange Commission's investigation of the alleged plundering of Ios, Ltd., and a subsidiary mutual fund.

Even after the return of this Continued on Page 14, Column 4

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

in the hands of Bernard L. Barker, one of four Miami men who pleaded guilty to breaking into the Democrats' Watergate offices here.

But Mr. Allen insisted that he would not have given the money if the had known how it would be used. He said that ins gift had been routed through Mexico for reasons of "comvenience" and "privacy"—not as has been charged, to rid the money of traces to other sources.

Mr. Alien told Mr. Stans that because he made his contribution on April 5, 1972—two daya before the new Federal dis closure law effect—"I felt, and still do, that under the law I had every right to expect and enjoy the right of privacy and full anonymity,"

"It was for this reason, as well as convenience, that arranged to have the contribution delivered from Mexico," he said. "I realize that this resulted in some embarrassment to you and the committee, in that the press made preposterous and bizarre assumptions concerning the purpose of this procedure. In actual fact, your committee did not participate in that mrangement in any way."

Mr. Allen did not explain with he had requested the return

Mr. Duncan was an obscure real estate dealer in Bryan. Tex., before he gave \$300,000 to the Humphrey campaign las May and June and instantly be came the largest recorded contributor in the 1972 campaign

It was later discovered that he had borrowed heavily for the Nixon and Humphrey contributions but had concerted large outstanding obligations, from the banks that lent have the campaign money. Sinter then, he has been through foreclosure on several large fracts of land in Texas for faiure to pay notes. He faces triain July on a \$2.2-million sul by an insurance company one another Texas land deal.