GAO Says Nixon Funds Unit Violated Spirit, Intent of Law

By Morton Mintz Washington Post Staff Writer

The General Accounting Office said yesterday that Presient Nixon's campaign organization violated "the spirit" and "clearly the intent" of the election-financing disclosure law in its handling of more than \$1 million contributed just before the November election

But the GAO recommended against referring any of the apparent violations to the Justice Department because neither the year-old law nor its legislative history nor the GAO's implementing regulations were "sufficiently explicit."

Later in the day, the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President said through spokesman Devan L. Shumway that the GAO had "cleared" it "of any violations of the law." Told of the claim, Phillip S. Hughes of the GAO's Federal Election Office laughed.

All of the contributions in question were made in the 12day period between Oct. 26. the last day of the final preelection reporting period, and the Nov. 7 election.

Among the contributors were two dairymen's groups that together gave \$45,000; the Lockheed Employees' Good Citizenship Group of Burbank, Calif., \$15,000; the political committee of the Seafarers' Union, \$100,000; Meshulam Riklis, a previous major backer of Sen. Hubert H. Humphrey's presidential bid, \$150,000; and William Levitt, head of a construction subsidiary of International Telephone & Telegraph Corp., \$102,000.

The elections office, which is planning to tighten the existing rules, also reported apparent violations by the campaign organization of Demo-cratic presidential candidate George McGovern. These involved a relatively small sum in contributions, \$78,000. The GAO recommended against referral to the Attorney General.

The Federal Election Campaign Act requires that any contribution of \$5,000 or more received after the last preelection report is filed "shall be reported within 48 hours after its receipt."

When does "receipt" occur? When the contribution is received by the political committee, the GAO says. The Nixon finance committee, however,

gift along to its treasurer.

"We do not agree," the butions are made in the 48 GAO said. hours immediately preceding the election.

arrival. The committee con- taxes. ceded that the posting of conto observe the requirements of tice. the law."

In the Lockheed case, the finance committee received and acknowledged a hand-delivered check for \$15,000 on Nov. 3, posted the gift on election eve three days later, but did not report it to the GAO until after the election.

The Seafarers' \$100,000, delivered on Nov. 6 and posted the next day, was not reported to the GAO until Jan. 31, the agency said. The contribution was made several weeks after the Justice Department decided not to appeal a dis-missed prosecution of the union begun under the old campaign financing law. An \$11,-000 gift from a Texas Team-

contends that the 48-hour pe-)sters' Union committee was riod does not begin to run un- delivered just before or after til the committee passes the the election, but was not posted until Nov. 12.

Some large gifts were di-Hughes report said. The GAO vided up among numerous also rejected the committee's committees, so that each share argument that 48-hour reports would be under the \$5,000 covare not required if contri-ered by the 48-hour rule, the

Meshulam Riklis, head of the Rapid-American Corp., Hughes noted that finance told the committee that his committee records did not \$150,000 was to be allocated show the date when it re- among 50 committees, so that ceived contributions, which each contribution of \$3,000 were not date-stamped upon would be exempted from gift

Numerous others whose large tributions "was often delayed pre-election gifts were not dis by the pace of operations, es-closed until after the election pecially during the final days did the same. The GAO reof the campaign," the GAO newed a protest to the Intersaid. Committee spokesman nal Revenue Service against Shumway said it "has sought a ruling permitting the prac-