## menberg Letter Attributed to Colson

By Marilyn Berger Washington Post Staff Writer

Charles W. Colson has been identified as the man who thought up, printed and sent out a letter in praise of President Nixon on official State Department stationery signed by the U.S. Ambassador to London, Walter Annenberg.

Colson, who resigned in March as White House special counsel, was identified in a letter released yesterday from the State Department to Sen. J. Fulbright (D-Ark.), chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

A similar letter was sent to Sen. Thomas F. Eagleton (D-Mo), who had first raised the issue of political activity by a U.S. ambassador who he said was supposed to represent our country in a non-partisan capacity."

us that he signed one copy Annenberg further informs House time a member of the White Annenberg that the initia-tive for his letter came from retary for congressional rel-Mr. Charles Colson, at that learned from Ambassador Wright, acting assistant sec-In the letters, staff. said: "We Ambassador Marshall have

of the letter in question, and gave it to Mr. Colson. He assumes that Mr. Colson subsequently reproduced the letter and arranged for its distribution. The original letter was on official Department of State stationery."

The Wright letters, dated April 30, continued: "Ambassador Annenberg has informed us that he does not know to whom the letters and enclosed editorials were sent, nor does he know at whose expense the letters were mailed."

The Annenberg letter of Feb. 5, 1973, was addressed "Dear Sir," connoting a mass mailing, and contained enclosures of two reprints

from British newspapers. They were critical of "trendies," "leftwingers," and of the Kennedy administration and praised what Annenberg called Mr. Nixon's "strong but quiet leadership" and "solid achievement."

When Eagleton first raised the issue with the State Department he received a letter from Wright dated April 6, in which the department said, "Ambassador Annenberg has indicated he decided to send this letter to U.S. citizens either known to him or suggested by his friends to call attention to support in the United Kingdom for the President's policies. His pur-

pose was to show that there is intelligent and articulate support for these policies in important sections of the British press."

That letter continued:

That letter continued:
"We do not believe that Ambassador Annenberg's correspondence constitutes a partisan political activity. On the contrary the ambassador was concerned with calling attention to support received from policies of the U. S. government It is not inappropriate for an ambassador to engage in such correspondence."

Eagleton, a member of the State Department appropriations subcommittee, replied that he considered the responsored "inadequate." He

here said in a letter of April 23 tlate that he "would hope that is in the information I requested the can be obtained from the department without resorting

to a congressional investigation of the matter."

The letter received by Eagleton completely turned the State Department's position around. "Let me express my genuine regret," wrote Wright, "that my earlier letter to you of April 6th, which was based on information relayed to the department from London and on a statement which Ambassador Annenberg released in London, was neither as complete nor as accurate as it should have been."