THE WASHINGTON POST

U.S. Jury Subpoenas Roy Ash

By Laura A. Kiernan Washington Post Staff Writer

A U.S. grand jury in Alexandria is investigating \$43 million in cost overrun claims from Litton Industries concerning submarine construction, and has subpoenaed bank records of former Cabinet member Roy L. Ash.

Ash, former director of the Office of Management and Budget in the Nixon and Ford administrations, was president of Litton at the time the claims for cost overruns occurred. Information concerning credit agreements between Ash and two banks has been subpoenaed.

The claims, originally sub-mitted in 1970 for \$31.5 million, have long been in dispute. They concern the cost of constructing three nuclear submarines at Litton's Ingalls Shipbuilding Division in Pascagoula, Miss., according to a Navy Material Command spokesman.

In a letter to Sen. William Proxmire (D-Wis.) last December, Assistant Attorney General Henry E. Petersen said the investigation by the grand jury panel resulted from an FBI investigation into "the possibility of fraud" in connection with Ingalls contract performance.

"In this connection, it is contemplated that our review will give due consideration to the Navy spokesman. the possible implication of inflated claims on financial statements the corporation has issued to stockholders and the public," Petersen said.

Litton officials said earlier that they "are confident no nuclear submarine claim have ment in January, 1974, after a irregularities are involved" in disputed before the grand review by the Navy's general

Ingalls' claims against the jury Ingalls claim that the counsel "established a require-Navy.

Proxmire has conducted numerous hearings on defense procurement as chairman of source said. the Senate Subcommittee on Priorities and Economy in Government.

The grand jury subpoenaed Ash's records for the period from 1968 through 1974 from the Wells Fargo National Bank in Los Angeles and from the Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. in New York, Court records show the panel asked for "all credit agreements including revolving credit and term loan agreements." The reason for the subpoena is not known.

The grand jury subpoeaned the same records from those banks concerning any agreements with Litton Industries or with four of its current top executives.

Litton Industries, ranked by the Pentagon in 1974 as the world's seventh largest defense contractor, currently is completing \$3 billion in defense contracts, a spokesman said.

In addition to the submarine contract, Litton claims the Navy owes them \$504.8 million in overruns on construction of five landing helicopter assault ships (LHAS) and \$106.6 million on construction of a number of commercial wartime transport ships, according to

One source said the Alexandria grand jury has raised questions about the latter cost he did not have to testify. overrun, known as the "Project X claim."

Navy delivefed defective material and delivered it late, the

Some testimony alleges Inbe delays before they accepted the contract and even if they material arrived on time, the shipbuilding crews were not ready to use it because they were behind schedule in construction, according to the source, who testified before tems Command. the grand jury.

jury to supply all finacial recthat justify Ingalls' claims for lion. extra cost allowances, according to the court records.

Since November, U.S. prosecutors in Alexandria have subpoenaed close to 62 persons, including numerous Litton employees who work in the industrial and cost engineering divisons of Ingalls, where claims against the Navy would be computed, sources said.

Also subpoenaed was at least one Nay official, Cmdr. Thomas C. Goslin Jr, who was stationed at Ingalls during the submarine construction period and was in charge of administration of contracts between Litton and the Navy Department.

Goslin said in a telephone interview he was subpoenaed in Nobember but federal prosecutors told him at the time

Litton's cost overrun' claim on the submarine project was Persons familiar with the referred to the Justice Depart-

overruns resulted because the ment" for further investigation "of allegations of fraud," it was reported.

In a memo disclosed a year galls was aware there would earlier, Vice Adm, Hyman G. Rickover accused Litton of "misrepresentation if not fraud" in its attempts to blame the Navy for the cost overruns. Rickover is deputy commander for nuclear propulsion in the Navy Sea Sys-

e grand jury. Litton officials have also overruns on poor planning been ordered by the grand and management of the contract on Litton's part, In his ords concerning construction memo, Rickover said Navy of the three nuclear subma- analysis showed Litton was rines, including all documents owed only \$4 million to \$7 mil-



ROY L. ASH . . . ex-Litton president